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HIGHLIGHTS

► Nanoparticle emissions from 11 non-vehicle exhaust sources (NES) are reviewed.
► Limited information exists but encouraging progress made to characterise NES.
► No air quality regulations exist currently to control nanoparticle exposure.
► Physicochemical characterisation and exposure to NES derived nanoparticles needed.
► Relative toxicity and contribution of NES produced nanoparticles unknown.

ABSTRACT

Nanoparticle emissions from road vehicles have been studied extensively in the recent past due to their dominant contribution towards the total airborne particle number concentrations (PNCs) found in the urban atmospheric environment. In view of upcoming tighter vehicle emission standards and adoption of cleaner fuels in many parts of the world, the contribution to urban nanoparticles from non-vehicle exhaust sources (NES) may become more pronounced in future. As of now, only limited information exists on nanoparticle emissions from NES through the discretely published studies. This article presents critically synthesised information in a consolidated manner on 11 NES (i.e. road–tyre interaction, construction and demolition, aircraft, ships, municipal waste incineration, power plants, domestic biomass burning, forest fires, cigarette smoking, cooking, and secondary formation). Source characteristics and formation mechanisms of nanoparticles emitted from each NES are firstly discussed, followed by their emission strengths, airborne concentrations and physicochemical characteristics. Direct comparisons of the strengths of NES are not straightforward but an attempt has been made to discuss their importance relative to the most prominent source (i.e. road vehicles) of urban nanoparticles. Some interesting comparisons emerged such as 1 kg of fast and slow wood burning produces nearly the same number of particles as for each km driven by a heavy duty vehicle (HDV) and a light duty vehicle, respectively. About 1 min of cooking on gas can produce the similar particle numbers generated by ~10 min of cigarette smoking or 1 m travel by a HDV. Apportioning the contribution of numerous sources from the bulk measured airborne PNCs is essential for determining their relative importance. Receptor modelling methods for estimation of source emission contributions are discussed. A further section evaluates the likely exposure risks, health and regulatory implications associated with each NES. It is concluded that much research is needed to provide adequate quantification of all nanoparticle sources, and to establish the relative toxicity of nanosize particles from each.
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1. Introduction

Atmospheric nanoparticles (referring here to those below 300 nm in diameter to represent most of the particle number concentration, PNC) are of large concern to both the air quality management and science communities due to their links with adverse impacts on public health. Diesel engine exhaust, containing a type of nanoparticle that large populations are exposed to in everyday life, has recently been classified as carcinogenic to humans (Group 1). This classification came from the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), which is part of the World Health Organization (WHO), based on sufficient evidence that exposure is associated with an increased risk for lung cancer (IARC, 2012). Before, it was classified as probably carcinogenic to humans (Group 2A). This kind of health concern has led to a strong imperative to gain better understanding of the sources so that effective control measures can be envisaged. In what follows, the terms ‘nanoparticle’ and ‘PNCs’ are used interchangeably according to the context, so is the case with the words ‘ambient’ and ‘atmospheric’. The term ‘ultrafine’ is specifically used when referring to particles below 100 nm in diameter. It is worth mentioning that nanoparticles originate by natural (atmospheric formation), incident (side product of anthropogenic burning), and engineered processes (deliberately produced with specific properties, having at least one dimension in the 1–100 nm range). The focus of this article is on the previous two types and these should not be confused with the latter type (i.e. engineered nanoparticles).

Numerous studies based on the mass metric show that vehicular sources can comprise up to 80% of total PM10 and/or PM2.5 in urban areas (AQEG, 1999; Baldauf et al., 2008; Chan et al., 1999; Fraser et al., 2003; Harrison et al., 1999; Schauer et al., 1996a; Wåhlin et al., 2001). The case of nanomaterials is similar when considering measurements close to the sources. For instance, the contribution from road vehicles can be up to 90% of total PNCs in polluted urban environments (Harrison et al., 2011; Johansson et al., 2007; Kumar et al., 2010c, 2011b; Pey et al., 2009), though this contribution varies significantly when measured in atmospheric environments not dominated by road vehicle emissions. Irrespective of the measurement locations and meteorological conditions, it is generally found that the particles below 100 nm and below 300 nm contribute over 80% and 99% of total PNCs, respectively (Heal et al., 2012).

Current knowledge of which components of particulate matter by source, composition and size have the greatest impact on public health is weak and inconclusive despite significant progress being made in the past (Pope III and Dockery, 2006). The case for nanoparticles is even less addressed and their contribution as well as the physical and chemical characteristics from various non-vehicle exhaust sources (NES) to urban airborne nanoparticles is hardly known. We are referring here the NES to the sources other than the tailpipe exhausts of ground vehicles. A number of NES are the focus of this article (see justification in Section 2), including particles arising from road–tyre interaction, brake wear and re-suspension (Dahl et al., 2006; Mathissen et al., 2011), industrial emissions such as from power plants (Li et al., 2009), idling, taxiing and take-off from aircraft at airports (Hu et al., 2009; Mazaferi et al., 2009), ship emissions from ports or harbours (Saxe and Larsen, 2004), construction, demolition and processing of concrete (Hansen et al., 2008; Kumar et al., 2012c), residential and commercial cooking (Buonanno et al., 2008b, 2010), domestic biomass burning (Hosseini et al., 2010), forest fires and burning of agricultural residue (Reid et al., 2005), municipal waste incineration (Buonanno et al., 2009a), cigarette smoking (Wu et al., 2011), and secondary formation (Morawska et al., 2010).

Thus far, whatever is known about the nanoparticle emissions from the NES is either through the discretely published independent studies or via the regional or national emission inventories. While the former method offers site specific localised information, the latter provides generalised results for a region. A handful of emission inventories currently exist for nanoparticle emissions from the NES. For Europe, a first size-resolved anthropogenic particle number emission inventory was compiled for the reference year 2005 within the framework of European Integrated Project on Aerosol Cloud Climate and Air Quality Interactions (EUCAARI) project (Kumala et al., 2011). The emission database includes particles in the 10–300 nm size range and distributes the particle number emissions in 15 different size bins. Likewise, some models such as Global Model for Aerosol Processes (GLOMAP) contain size-resolved emission data in 20 bins that span in the 3–10,000 nm range (Reddington et al., 2011). Another such emission inventory was carried out for the UK for 2008 (AEA, 2010). However, the study approximated the mass concentrations of nanoparticles (but not the number concentrations) based on fraction of PM10 and computed the following contributions: combustion in energy and transformation industry (8%), combustion in manufacturing industry (7%), industrial off-road mobile machinery (9%), other (non-road) transport (14%), production processes (15%), agriculture (9%), waste (4%). Unfortunately this does not map well onto the NES sources considered in this review, and looks very different to a similar inventory published for 2001 (AQEG, 2005).

2. Scope, aims and article outline

The scope of the article is limited to nanoparticles and hence the focus remains on number concentrations of particles. We refer to coarse (PM2.5–10) and fine (PM2.5) airborne particles only where necessary to set out the context for nanoparticles. A total of 11 major NES are comprehensively evaluated. It should be noted that the road vehicles are intentionally left out of the scope of this article since a number of well documented review articles covering their origin, characterisation, measurement methods, instrumentation, dispersion modelling and their impacts on health, visibility impairment and climate change already exist; see summary of these articles in Kumar et al. (2012a) and references therein.

All the sources chosen for study contribute nanoparticles directly to the outdoor atmospheric environment, other than cigarette smoking and cooking both of which make a direct and indirect contribution. For instance, cigarette smoke is not strictly an indoor source as increasingly smoking takes place out of doors and hence this is both a direct (i.e. outdoor) and indirect (through escaping from indoors) source to the outdoor environment (Section 4.9.1). Likewise emissions from cooking activities in both residential and commercial settings reach the outdoor ambient environments through natural or mechanical ventilation (Section 4.10.1). Secondary formation is not a primary source, like others, but has been considered due to its significant contributions in rural and background areas besides leading to nucleation burst in urban areas. It should be noted that emission sources which emit predominantly to the indoor environment, including vacuum cleaners (Knibbs et al., 2012), office printers (McGarry et al., 2011), ironing, candles, hair dryers or steam irons (Wallace and Ott, 2011), as well as exposure to emissions during various day to day indoor and outdoor activities (Buonanno et al., 2012a), or exposure in transport microenvironments (Knibbs et al., 2011; Joodatnia et al., 2013) are beyond the scope of this article, mainly for reasons of brevity.

The aims of this study are to critically synthesise the latest information on NES derived nanoparticles, analysing their source strengths, relative importance and contributions, receptor modelling methods of source emission contributions, and the regulatory
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approaches to limit their probable impacts on human health. In addition, the research gaps and future priorities to fill the underlying research openings are highlighted. It is worth noting that the aim of this work is not to construct any emission inventory but to further elucidate the main NES for nanoparticles under varying environmental conditions. In our view, this is the first article which comprehensively evaluates a number of technical aspects related to the nanoparticle emissions from various NES and presents a critically synthesised summary in one place.

The article starts with a general overview of NES (Section 1), followed by defining the scope of work (Section 2) and their importance for assessment (Section 3). The major thrust of the article is on evaluating the source characteristics, formation mechanisms, emissions and physicochemical characteristics of nanoparticles produced by a total of 11 NES (Section 4). Further sections present a critical synthesis on their relative contributions (Section 5), some methods of source estimation (Section 6), likely exposure to the population in close vicinity and far from such sources, health and regulatory concerns (Section 7), and summary and further work (Section 8).

3. Importance of nanoparticle emissions from the NES

The importance of individual sources in terms of their contribution and possible exposure to nanoparticles may become apparent in future as the vehicle exhaust emissions decline due to the use of renewable fuels (Kumar et al., 2010b) and low sulphur fuels (Jones et al., 2012; Wåhlin, 2009), together with the significant improvements in engine technology and exhaust after-treatment triggered by the stringent exhaust emission standards (EU, 2008). Inclusion of particle number based vehicle emission standards in Euro 5/6 and fitting or retrofitting of diesel particulate filters (DPF) in coming years are a few such examples of measures for reducing nanoparticle emissions. The former is already implemented in Europe and the latter, for example, has recently been implemented in the London Low Emission Zone from January 2012 for diesel vehicles not complying with the Euro IV emission standard.

Contributions from individual NES may be more localised, site specific and relatively small in a few cases compared with vehicular emissions, but their combined contribution could be large and comparable especially in the close vicinity of such sources. Moreover, physical and chemical properties for nanoparticles from NES could differ from those originated by road vehicles due to their unique formation mechanism (see Section 4). Hence, these could show different health effects which are though yet to be established (see Section 7). Given the limited published information on many NES, quantifying the contribution and understanding their physicochemical characteristics and dynamics is vital for the regulatory authorities and policy makers for designing the mitigation strategies and to compute their individual contributions and impacts on public health and local air quality.

4. Source characteristics, formation mechanisms, emissions and physicochemical characteristics of NES derived nanoparticles

This section focuses on the nanoparticle emissions from the 11 NES one by one. A sample summary of relevant studies is provided in Table 1. Each source has distinct characteristics and is hence worthy of detailed discussion. A few of them are covered in great detail and the rest are summarised concisely in the interests of brevity. Covering of these many sources also necessitates the citation of a very large body of relevant published specialist, research and review articles.

4.1. Road—tyre interaction, brake wear and re-suspension

4.1.1. Source characteristics and nanoparticle formation

Road dust can be defined as a complex mixture of non-exhaust particles that originate from interaction processes of the road pavement and tyre (i.e. road and tyre wear), and brake wear, but it also includes re-suspension of deposited road dust. Besides the debris from tyre and brake wear, the re-suspended dust might be originated from other sources; for example, from exhaust, de-icing salt and sand, kerbside, nearby construction works or dirty roads, or it might be delivered with long range transport. Road dust has been recognized as a dominant source of PM10 in urban areas. Particularly, observed high concentrations during springtime in Scandinavia, North America and Japan have been linked to traction control methods, i.e. sanding and the use of studded tyres during winter.

In recent years, several studies have focused on the particulate emissions of non-exhaust particles including laboratory measurements by road simulators (Aatmeeyata et al., 2009; Dahl et al., 2006; Gustafsson et al., 2008; Kupiainen et al., 2005), as well as real-world measurements by mobile units (Fitz et al., 2005; Hussein et al., 2008; Kreider et al., 2010; Kuhns et al., 2001; Kupiainen and Pirjola, 2011; Mathissen et al., 2011; Pirjola et al., 2009; Zhu et al., 2009). Road simulators have been helpful to study the contributions from tyre and road wear isolated under laboratory conditions whereas as the mobile units measure additionally the contribution from re-suspended dust present under ambient conditions. Most of these papers concentrate in the PM10 emissions; only a few of them report emissions and characteristics of nanoparticles.

Tyre wear particles are formed mechanically by tyre abrasion at the road surface and are assumed to be primarily coarse particles (Kupiainen et al., 2005; Tervahattu et al., 2006; Thorpe and Harrison, 2008). However, laboratory experiments by Dahl et al. (2006) and Gustafsson et al. (2008) indicate that tyre wear may also contribute significantly to nanoparticle emissions by gas-to-particle conversion processes, i.e. organic tyre material can evaporate and subsequently re-condense onto small particles.

Road wear particles are formed due to frictional processes between the pavement aggregate particles and the tyre tread. In urban areas two types of street pavements, asphalt and concrete, are used. Asphalt is comprised primarily of mineral aggregates (95%), the reminder is mostly filler and bituminous binder (Thorpe and Harrison, 2008). The composition of asphalt pavements, particularly stone material and its grain size, has impact on the wear resistance of the asphalt and particle emissions. Concrete pavements have coarse aggregates bound together into a firm construction with cement and sand (Luhana et al., 2004). Identification of a suitable tracer species for road surface wear has proven to be very difficult (Thorpe and Harrison, 2008). Dahl et al. (2006) studied particle number production at the road—tyre interface in a road simulator for studded and non-studded winter tyres. They observed a significant amount of particles <200 nm, which probably originated from the tyres, unlike the particles >320 nm which conceivably consisted of carbon reinforcement filler and mechanically generated mineral grains from the stone material in the pavement.

Frictional contact between brake system components generates particles across a wide range of diameters from a few hundred nanometres to a few tens of micrometres (for a review, see Thorpe and Harrison, 2008). Most of the studies have reported high PM10 and PM2.5 concentrations (Garg et al., 2000; Iijama et al., 2007; Sanders et al., 2003; Wåhlin et al., 2006); however, a significant portion of ultratine particles has also been observed. For instance, Garg et al. (2000) measured a large number of particles smaller than 30 nm in some wear tests on a brake dynamometer. Because
Table 1
Sample summary of nanoparticle emissions from various NES to atmospheric environment. The abbreviations P, L and A refer to point (fixed point emissions), line (emissions in a continuous row) and area (spread over an area) sources, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>PNC (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>L(PNEF (kg km$^{-1}$) or kg kg$^{-1}$) + St. dev.</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Size range (nm)</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
<th>Authors (year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Road–tyre interaction</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>$4 \times 10^{14}$ km$^{-1}$ for non-studded tyre</td>
<td>SMPS</td>
<td>$15–700$</td>
<td>Vehicle speed 50–70 km h$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Dahl et al. (2006)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>$0.14–0.17 \times 10^{14}$ km$^{-3}$ for studded tyre</td>
<td>SMPS</td>
<td>$15–700$</td>
<td>30 km h$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Gustafsson et al. (2008)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>$0.072–0.82 \times 10^{14}$ km$^{-3}$</td>
<td>SMPS</td>
<td>$15–700$</td>
<td>50 km h$^{-1}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>$1.81–2.05 \times 10^{14}$ km$^{-3}$</td>
<td>SMPS</td>
<td>$15–700$</td>
<td>60 km h$^{-1}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{14}$ km$^{-1}$</td>
<td>EEPs</td>
<td>6–562</td>
<td>Summer tyre</td>
<td>Mathissen et al. (2011)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{2}$ cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>EEPs</td>
<td>6–562</td>
<td>Full stop braking</td>
<td>Mathissen et al. (2011)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction and demolition</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>$1.91 \times 10^{2}$ cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>DMS50</td>
<td>5–560</td>
<td>Demolition</td>
<td>Kumar et al. (2012c)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>$2.27 \times 10^{2}$ cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>DMS50</td>
<td>5–560</td>
<td>Dry recycling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>$1.76 \times 10^{2}$ cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>DMS50</td>
<td>5–560</td>
<td>Wet recycling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>$0.77 \times 10^{4}$ cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>DMS50</td>
<td>5–560</td>
<td>Crushing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ship emissions</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>$(1.26 \pm 0.51) \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-3}$ as raw exhaust</td>
<td>CPC</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>Aircraft based measurement 2004</td>
<td>Petzold et al. (2008)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>$7.8 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>DMA</td>
<td>10–800</td>
<td>Aircraft based measurement 2007</td>
<td>Murphy et al. (2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>$2.1 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>SMPS3081</td>
<td>20–600</td>
<td>Harbour</td>
<td>Healy et al. (2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>$(6.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{16}$ kg$^{-1}$ fuel</td>
<td>CN10T25 TSI</td>
<td>3–3000</td>
<td>Aircraft based measurement 2000</td>
<td>Petzold et al. (2008)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>$(1.36 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{16}$ kg$^{-1}$ fuel</td>
<td>CPC</td>
<td>&gt;3</td>
<td>Aircraft based measurement 2004</td>
<td>Petzold et al. (2008)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>$(1.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{16}$ kg$^{-1}$ fuel</td>
<td>CPC3025 TSI</td>
<td>&gt;3</td>
<td>Aircraft based measurement 2007</td>
<td>Murphy et al. (2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>$(2.46 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{16}$ kg$^{-1}$ fuel</td>
<td>EEP33090 TSI</td>
<td>5.6–560</td>
<td>Harbour</td>
<td>Jonsson et al. (2011)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>$(3.19 \pm 0.41) \times 10^{16}$ kg$^{-1}$ fuel</td>
<td>CPC3775 TSI</td>
<td>&gt;3</td>
<td>Harbour</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft emissions</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>$4.2–54 \times 10^{15}$ kg$^{-1}$ fuel</td>
<td>SMPS</td>
<td>4–710</td>
<td>Various modes in landing/take-off cycle</td>
<td>Mazaheri et al. (2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>$1.98 \times 10^{24}$ yr$^{-1}$ (Airport annual total number emissions)</td>
<td>SMPS</td>
<td>4–710</td>
<td>Brisbane airport total emissions</td>
<td>Mazaheri et al. (2011)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>$3–50 \times 10^{15}$ kg$^{-1}$ fuel</td>
<td>CPC 3022a</td>
<td>&gt;7</td>
<td>Idle and take-off plumes</td>
<td>Herndon et al. (2008)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>$10^{15}–10^{17}$ kg$^{-1}$ fuel</td>
<td>TSI</td>
<td>&gt;7</td>
<td>Idle and take-off plumes</td>
<td>Kinsey et al. (2010)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>$3.4 \times 10^{16}$ kg$^{-1}$ fuel</td>
<td>EEP33090 TSI</td>
<td>5.6–560</td>
<td>Idle and take-off plumes</td>
<td>Zhu et al. (2011)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>$4 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$ (average)</td>
<td>SMPS/CPC 3785 TSI</td>
<td>7–320</td>
<td>Take off plumes</td>
<td>Ellermann et al. (2011)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>$3–6 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$ (peaks)</td>
<td>DMPS</td>
<td>6–700</td>
<td>Handling Area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>$2.6 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$ (runway)</td>
<td>FMPS 3091</td>
<td>5–560</td>
<td>Near runway and hangar at an aviation base</td>
<td>Buonanno et al. (2012b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>$1.7 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$ (hangar) (both average 8 h working day)</td>
<td>CPC 3775</td>
<td>&gt;4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic biomass burning</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>$34 \times 10^{15}$ (1–MCE) kg$^{-1}$ (PNEF)$^b$</td>
<td>Fit based on literature data</td>
<td>Various studies and instruments</td>
<td>Vegetation fires (MCE – modified combustion efficiency)$^b$</td>
<td>Janhäll et al. (2010)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>$(34 \pm 16)$ cm$^{-3}$ ppb$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Fit based on literature data</td>
<td>Typical size range &gt;20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>DMPS</td>
<td>6–700</td>
<td>Increase in outdoor PNC due to residential wood combustion</td>
<td>Glasius et al. (2008); Wählin et al. (2010)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>$3.3–5.7 \times 10^{15}$ kg$^{-1}$ (wood)</td>
<td>DMPS</td>
<td>10–600</td>
<td>PNEF; Fast burning</td>
<td>Wardoyo et al. (2006)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>$0.5–6.9 \times 10^{15}$ kg$^{-1}$ (leaves)</td>
<td>DMPS</td>
<td>10–600</td>
<td>PNEF; Slow burning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>$2.8–45 \times 10^{14}$ kg$^{-1}$ (wood)</td>
<td>DMPS</td>
<td>10–600</td>
<td>PNEF; Slow burning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>$0.5–9.3 \times 10^{13}$ kg$^{-1}$ (leaves)</td>
<td>DMPS</td>
<td>3–920</td>
<td>Birch wood</td>
<td>Hedberg et al. (2002)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>$1.8–3.7 \times 10^{12}$ cm$^{-3}$ (Median)</td>
<td>WCPC + WPC</td>
<td>10–10,000</td>
<td>Range for locally grown wood burning in different stages of combustion</td>
<td>Zhang et al. (2012)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest fires</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^{15}$ kg$^{-1}$ fuel</td>
<td>CPC</td>
<td>8–300</td>
<td>Aircraft measurement in the tropical forest plume 2002</td>
<td>Guyon et al. (2005)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>$(30 \pm 17) \times 10^{15}$ kg$^{-1}$ fuel</td>
<td>CPC</td>
<td>3–3000</td>
<td>Aircraft measurement in the savannah burning plume 2000</td>
<td>Sinha et al. (2003b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>$3.4 \times 10^{15}$ kg$^{-1}$ fuel</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>Condensation nuclei</td>
<td>Laboratory studies</td>
<td>Andrae and Merlet (2001)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>$(1.2–3.4) \times 10^{15}$ kg$^{-1}$ fuel</td>
<td>PCASP for grass; CPC for forest</td>
<td>Forest, savanna, grass</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8–300 nm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Janhäll et al. (2010)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued on next page)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>PNC (cm⁻³)/PNEF (# km⁻³ or # kg⁻¹) ± Str. dev.</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Size range (nm)</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
<th>Authors (year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MSW incineration</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>~10⁴ cm⁻³</td>
<td>APS + SMPS</td>
<td>17–30,000</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Maghun et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>1–2 × 10⁴ cm⁻³</td>
<td>ELPI</td>
<td>30–10,000</td>
<td>May 2007</td>
<td>Buonanno et al. (2009a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.35 ± 1 × 10⁴ cm⁻³</td>
<td>CPC + SMPS</td>
<td>3–800</td>
<td>October 2009</td>
<td>Buonanno et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power plants</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>~10⁷ cm⁻³ (at ESP inlet)</td>
<td>SMPS + ELPI</td>
<td>17–10,000</td>
<td>160 kW Pilot-scale coal combustor</td>
<td>Li et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>~10⁷ cm⁻³ (at ESP outlet)</td>
<td>EEPS + APS</td>
<td>3–10,000</td>
<td>100 MW Ignite-powered power plants</td>
<td>Wang et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>1.7–20.3 × 10⁷ cm⁻³ (at ESP inlet)</td>
<td>ELPI</td>
<td>30–10,000</td>
<td>Coal-fired power plants</td>
<td>Yi et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>1.7–20.3 × 10⁷ cm⁻³ (at ESP outlet)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>2.4 × 10⁵ cm⁻³ (at baghouse filter inlet)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>6.1 × 10⁵ cm⁻³ (at baghouse filter outlet)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarette smoking</td>
<td>L/P</td>
<td>1–5.1 × 10² cigarette⁻¹</td>
<td>SMPS</td>
<td>10–445</td>
<td>Cigarettes smouldering</td>
<td>Wallace and Ott (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L/P</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.19 × 10¹ cigarette⁻¹</td>
<td>EEPS</td>
<td>5–560</td>
<td>Cigarette smouldering</td>
<td>Daher et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L/P</td>
<td>3.36 ± 0.34 × 10¹ min⁻¹</td>
<td>SMPS</td>
<td>17–661</td>
<td>Cigarette smoulding</td>
<td>Wu et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L/P</td>
<td>1.91 ± 1.92 × 10¹ min⁻¹</td>
<td>SMPS/CPC</td>
<td>7–808</td>
<td>Cigarette smoulding</td>
<td>He et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L/P</td>
<td>2.13 × 10² cm⁻³</td>
<td>CPC</td>
<td>20–1000</td>
<td>Cigarette smoulding (max PNCs in chamber)</td>
<td>Afshari et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L/P</td>
<td>3.6 × 10⁵ cm⁻³</td>
<td>SMPS</td>
<td>14–552</td>
<td>Cigarette smoulding (max PNCs in living room)</td>
<td>Hussein et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooking (restaurant/residential)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>1.2–1.5 × 10¹ min⁻¹</td>
<td>SMPS + APS</td>
<td>6–20,000</td>
<td>Frying of chips over gas stove</td>
<td>Buonanno et al. (2009b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>1.1–2.7 × 10¹ min⁻¹</td>
<td>SMPS + APS</td>
<td>6–20,000</td>
<td>Frying of chips over electric stove</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.35–7.3 × 10¹ min⁻¹</td>
<td>SMPS/CPC</td>
<td>7–808</td>
<td>Nine types of cooking activities</td>
<td>He et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.13–6 × 10⁶ cm⁻³</td>
<td>SMPS</td>
<td>8–289</td>
<td>Range of Indian, Italian, Chinese and American cooking</td>
<td>Zhang et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>1.8 × 10⁶ cm⁻³</td>
<td>SMPS</td>
<td>14–552</td>
<td>Seven types of cooking activities</td>
<td>Hussein et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>7.7 × 10⁶ cm⁻³</td>
<td>ELPI</td>
<td>8–10,000</td>
<td>Stir cooking</td>
<td>See and Balasubramanian (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photochemical nucleation</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>(0.59 × 10¹; 3% of ToN)</td>
<td>CPC + DMA</td>
<td>13–800</td>
<td>2003–2004; Urban background; Barcelona</td>
<td>Pey et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: DMS – Differential Mobility Spectrometer; CPC – Condensation Particle Counter; EEPS – Engine Exhaust Particle Sizer; ELPI – Electrical Low Pressure Impactor; APS – Aerodynamic Particle Sizer; DMA – Differential Mobility Analyser; WCPC – Water-based Condensation Particle Counter; WPS – Wide-range Particle Spectrometer; PCASP – Passive Cavity Aerosol Spectrometer Probe.

- These are net PNCs (after subtracting the background) measured close to the source; experiments were simulated in the laboratory on a samples.
- The modified combustion efficiency is defined as MCE = \( \Delta C_{CO}_2/\Delta C_{CO} + \Delta C_{CO}_2 \).
- These are measured PNCs in the stack after passing through the treatment system (i.e. wet electrostatic dust precipitator); PNCs before filter were of the order of \( \sim 10^6 \) cm⁻³.
- These are measured PNCs in the stack after passing through the treatment system (i.e. selective non-catalytic reduction, electrostatic precipitator, spray absorber system, fabric filter).
- These are measured PNCs in the stack after passing through the treatment system (i.e. fabric filter); PNCs before filter were \( 2.4 \pm 0.2 \times 10^7 \) cm⁻³.
the brake wear process produces very high temperature at the brake/rotor interface iron might melt and many of the brake lining materials (fibres, abrasives, lubricants, fillers, binders) might decompose. Likewise, some of the brake pad materials volatilise during braking and condense in the airstream, contributing to the small particle fraction (Sanders et al., 2003).

4.2.1. Source characteristics and nanoparticle formation

Evidence suggests the release of fine and coarse particles from the building activities (e.g. construction, demolition, and refurbishment) can increase the peak concentrations to several times above the background. For example, the short term peak concentrations of PM$_{10}$ were reported over 1000 times higher compared with the pre-implosion levels during the demolition of a 22-storey residential building in Baltimore (Beck et al., 2003). The 24-h daily mean PM$_{10}$ concentrations were also reported to increase over the 50 $\mu$g m$^{-2}$ EU limit values as a consequence of a range of building works in London (Fuller and Green, 2004) and Cardiff (Deacon et al., 1997). Likewise, demolition of high rise buildings in Chicago (Illinois, USA) showed between 4 and 9 times increase of 6-h averaged PM$_{10}$ concentrations against the background at 42 m downwind of demolition site (Dorevitch et al., 2006). While numerous studies are available on the dust emissions from activities such as arc welding (Lee et al., 2007), drilling (Fan et al., 2012), cutting (Khettabi et al., 2010), unintentional release of airborne nanoparticles from major building activities such as construction, demolition, recycling and refurbishment is largely unknown. As discussed in subsequent sections, only a handful of studies have looked into this topic but none of them offer much information on the number and size distributions released from different building activities, their emission strength or physicochemical characteristics, or possible exposure and health impacts.

Nanoparticles can escape to the ambient environment during the use of crushers, screeners, cutting and drilling activities at construction plants due to the mechanical attrition between the building materials. The diesel fuel combustion in construction machinery is another source of nanoparticles at construction and demolition sites, besides the soil excavation. The soil at any construction site is likely to be disturbed due to the earthworks activities such as excavation, soil-stripping, and ground levelling. Together with the fine particles, heavy metals and pesticides that had very long residence time have a strong tendency to get picked up by the wind from the soil and dispersed into the surrounding environment. Furthermore, recent trends to incorporate carbon nanotubes and plasticizers (e.g. nano-silica, Fe$_2$O$_3$, SiO$_2$ and TiO$_2$) within concrete mixes to improve workability and strength introduce an additional potential source of nanoparticles within the concrete structures (Nazari and Riahi, 2011). This nanomodified concrete, when fractured, can also release potentially hazardous particles in the nanosize range. Recycling of materials at concrete recycling plants, and refurbishment and demolition activities are further potential sources. The quantity of particles released during demolition could vary depending on the type of demolition method deployed. These methods could include mechanical demolition using heavy equipment, wrecking ball, pneumatic jack and excavators, or the implosion method (Dorevitch et al., 2006). The former take longer demolition time for a structure than the latter, and consequently can result in prolonged exposure. Conversely, the implosion method is near-instantaneous but can produce several times higher peak concentrations for short-term exposure over the background levels (Beck et al., 2003). While the knowledge in relation to coarse and fine particles is significant (Dorevitch et al., 2006; Kukadia et al., 2003), this is nearly non-existent for nanoparticle emissions (see Section 4.2.2).

4.2.2. Nanoparticle emissions and their characteristics

One of the rare studies on nanoparticle emission measurements was conducted by Hansen et al. (2008), though their main objectives were to look at the fungal airborne spores during the demolition of a hospital building. They measured the particles at 150 m height above the ground level within 22 and 31 m distances from the four-storey concrete hospital building in Essen (Germany) that was demolished. The PNCs below 100 nm size were found to be increased to about 1.6 times during demolition compared with the
background PNCs. A recent study by Kumar et al. (2012c) investigated the release of particle number and size distributions in the 5–560 nm range from the three simulated building activities: the crushing of concrete cubes, the demolition of old concrete slabs, and the recycling of concrete debris. The measurements were taken in a controlled laboratory environment, at about 0.15 m away from the samples since the aim was to investigate the emission rates of nanoparticles. The measured PNCs were adjusted after subtracting the background PNCs. The net PNCs during cube crushing, slab demolition, and ‘dry’ and ‘wet’ recycling events were measured as \( \approx 0.77, 19.1, 22.7 \) and \( 1.8 \times 10^{4} \) cm\(^{-3}\), respectively. These preliminary results confirmed the release of nanoparticles, showing the majority of new PNC release below 100 nm as opposed to the bulk of new particle mass concentrations (PMC) emissions that were above 100 nm. The measured PNCs can, however, change appreciably depending on the factors such as the distance of the sampling location from the source due to transformation of particles (Kumar et al., 2011a). Meteorology, demolition methods and structure type may further affect the measured PNCs in operational conditions. Nanoparticles emitted from building activities are likely to be non-volatile and hence can have a longer atmospheric lifetime (Kumar et al., 2010a) compared with those originated from traffic with a notable volatile fraction (Dall’Osto et al., 2011). This can increase the chances of their escape to surrounding environments and the exposure of the workers and the local community.

4.3. Ship emissions

4.3.1. Source characteristics and nanoparticle formation

More than 80% of world trade is transported by ships, making the marine traffic a significant and growing source of diesel emissions to the local and global environments that affect global climate, air quality and human health (Fuglesvedt et al., 2009). Ocean-going ships represent approximately 9% of global SO\(_2\) emissions and 18–30% of the world’s NO\(_x\) pollution. They also produce as much primary PM mass as road traffic (\( \approx 1.7 \) Tg yr\(^{-1}\)), including \( \approx 2\% \) of global black carbon (BC) from all sources, and 7.5% of fossil and biofuel sourced organic matter (OM) emissions (Corbett et al., 2010; Eyring et al., 2005; Lack et al., 2009). Most of the studies focus on NO\(_x\), SO\(_x\), and PM emissions. Recent publications have started to be concerned with nanoparticle emissions and their measurement.

Large ships are primarily powered by diesel propulsion systems that can either use residual fuels or distillates. Residual fuel refers to a broad category of low-grade fuel with longer carbon chain length, high density, high viscosity and high concentration of impurities such as sulphur, ash, asphaltene particles, and metals (Sinha et al., 2003). Heavy fuel oil (HFO), pure or nearly pure residual oil, represents over 80% of the fuel consumed by the world ship fleet (Murphy et al., 2009). Distillates are refined fuels of various grades that are more expensive than residual fuels but by combustion result in smaller pollutant concentrations. Due to their higher costs, distillates are generally used only by the auxiliary engines for port activities for generating electricity for light, heating, ventilation, air-conditioning, cold storage, cooking, etc. (Saxe and Larsen, 2004); and by the main engines for manoeuvring in the harbour area (arriving or leaving the port including turning, braking and accelerating).

Shipping emits both primary and secondary particles. Incomplete combustion of carbon produces primary soot particles. Due to impurities in HFO, these particles also include vanadium and nickel. Emissions of SO\(_2\) are determined by the fuel sulphur content; residual fuels produce higher SO\(_2\) emissions than distillate fuels. Sulphur is oxidized to SO\(_3\) and further to SO\(_2\) during combustion. The amount of SO\(_2\) depends on the combustion temperature, but the reaction is catalyzed by the presence of vanadium (Isakson et al., 2001). During dilution and cooling SO\(_2\) reacts with water vapour forming sulphuric acid which subsequently can nucleate forming secondary particles and/or condense onto pre-existing particles.

Nanoparticle emissions depend on the technology deployed, i.e. engine type (main and auxiliary, age and power), fuel type and consumption, after-treatment system (e.g. selective catalytic reduction, SCR), as well as ship’s type and operating conditions (engine load, speed and acceleration, shipping routes) and weather conditions. SCR is able to reduce NO\(_x\) emission by 90–99%, HC and CO by 80–90%, and soot by 30–40% for full load (Eyring et al., 2005). Friddell et al. (2008) found that the particle mass emissions reduced to about half, over the whole size range, by using a SCR system. Different scrubbing systems have been commonly applied to diesel power plants on land, but until now their commercial installation on ships have been scarce (Jalkanen et al., 2011). HFO fuel emits more pollutants than distillate fuels.

4.3.2. Nanoparticle emissions and their characteristics

Particulate matter, mostly mass concentration but recently also number size distribution, in marine engine exhaust has been studied in laboratory conditions on engine test beds (Kasper et al., 2007; Lyyränen et al., 1999; Petzold et al., 2008), on-board from the ship pipe (Agarwal et al., 2008a; Friddell et al., 2008; Moldanova et al., 2009, 2006; Murphy et al., 2009), in ship plumes by aircraft (Murphy et al., 2009; Petzold et al., 2008; Sinha et al., 2003) or by ships (Lack et al., 2009), as well as by performing stationary measurements in the port areas (Ault et al., 2009; Healy et al., 2009; Isakson et al., 2001; Jonsson et al., 2011).

In fresh exhaust studied by test bed, at near 100% engine load, Lyyränen et al. (1999) found that the mass size distributions from medium-speed diesel engines are bimodal with a main mode at 60–90 nm and a second mode at 7–10 \(\mu\)m in aerodynamic diameter. Particle morphology analysis showed that the smallest particles in 40–90 nm sizes are almost spherical and are generated by nucleation of ash components (V, Na, Ca, Ni, Al, silicon) evaporating during combustion (Lyyränen et al., 1999). These particles further grow by condensation and agglomeration. The larger mode particles are mainly agglomerates of different sizes consisting of the small particles. Microstructure and elemental analysis of ship combustion particles by Moldanova et al. (2009) showed three distinct morphological structures with quite different chemical composition: (i) soot aggregates with significant content of V, Ni and S dominate the sub-micrometre fraction of the mass size distribution, (ii) mineral and ash is found in particles \(>200 \) nm, and (iii) spherically shaped carbonaceous char particles in the micrometre size. Furthermore, organic carbon particles with a size of 100 nm originating from unburned fuel and/or lubricant oil were observed.

The number size distribution peaked at 40–60 nm (Lyyränen et al., 1999). Somewhat smaller particles peaking at 20–40 nm and forming a unimodal number size distribution were observed by Kasper et al. (2007) who studied a low-speed marine diesel engine. A four-stroke marine diesel engine showed a bimodal number size distribution peaking at 15 nm and 50 nm for engine loads \(>75\%\) but changed to unimodal peaking at 50 nm for loads \(<75\%\) (Petzold et al., 2008). They concluded that the smallest particles \((<30 \) nm) were composed predominantly of sulphuric acid water clusters while the large combustion particle mode contains most of the non-volatile black carbon (BC), organic carbon (OC) and ash. Around 60–70% of the PNC was evaporated by applying a thermodenuder (Jonsson et al., 2011; Petzold et al., 2008). Kasper et al. (2007) report even an 86% decrease in total PNCs with a thermodenuder but their SMPS could not record particles smaller than 10 nm.

Performing in-stack measurements on-board, Murphy et al. (2009) also observed a bimodal structure for particle number size...
distribution; one mode ranging from 5 to 8 nm and the other from 30 to 100 nm. Sulphur and vanadium had distinctive peaks from both populations of particles. The mass ratio of organic carbon to sulphate was 0.23 and was constant with the particle size.

Measurements of airborne particles showed that the PNCs above the 10 nm size range near the ship was 7.8 \( \times 10^{17} \) cm\(^{-3}\); the majority of the particle size distributions (more than 90% of PNCs) was found below a diameter of 100 nm (Murphy et al., 2009). The mass spectrometer (C-ToF-AMS) showed that the airborne particles comprised around 31% of dry mass based organics at 100 m distance from the stack; this ratio was almost constant when the ship plume aged and diluted into the marine boundary layer (up to 60 min). The non-organic mass appeared to be almost entirely sulphuric acid (68–70%). The mass ratio of OC:SO\(_4\) was increased to 0.3 during the first 100 m from the stack in the particle size range of smaller than 100 nm. This indicated that organic condensation occurred very fast after the stack. Recently, Robinson et al. (2007) found that most primary organic-particulate emissions are semi-volatile and partially evaporate with rapid atmospheric dilution, creating substantial amount of low-volatility gaseous. Photo-oxidation of these gases rapidly generates secondary-organic aerosol production exceeding that from the original precursors. Lack et al. (2009) chased ship plumes with a research vessel, and report that the PNC was dominated by nucleation mode particles smaller than 10 nm. They also suggest emission or very fast formation of small sulphuric acid particles that subsequently grow by condensation and coagulation. Furthermore, they found that emissions of SO\(_4\) and OM linearly correlate with fuel sulphur content while emissions of BC are heavily dependent on engine type.

In a port area of Ireland, Healy et al. (2009) measured the maximum PNCs of 2.1 \( \times 10^{15} \) cm\(^{-3}\) for particles in the 20–100 nm size range. The mass spectrometer (ATOFMS) showed that single particles in the size range 150–900 nm, peaking at 225 nm, contained internally mixed OC, EC, V, Ni, Na, Ca, nitrogen-containing organic carbon and sulphate, in agreement with the observation of the other research groups (Ault et al., 2009; Moldanova et al., 2009; Murphy et al., 2009). A local freshly emitted ship exhaust source was suggested to be the origin of these particles.

### 4.4. Aircraft and airports

#### 4.4.1. Source characteristics and nanoparticle formation

The commercial air traffic has been increasing over the last decades. Emissions from aircrafts, among them nanoparticles, have been a major concern for climate change since they are emitted in the free troposphere during cruise and might alter cloud properties and the radiation balance (Boucher, 1999; IPCC, 1999). Moreover, aircraft emissions are causing health effects both globally as on the local scale for individuals working at the airports or flight-support facilities or living in the vicinity of airports (Barrett et al., 2010; Ural et al., 2010).

It is well recognized that emissions from aircraft engines together with the auxiliary power unit (APU) and the ground handling equipment contribute significantly to high air pollution concentrations at the apron where the ground handling staff is operating (Ellermann et al., 2011; Kurniawan and Khardi, 2011). Ground handling equipment uses often similar technology (diesel or gasoline engines) as normal road traffic. Road traffic exhaust is not focus of this paper and the emissions from ground handling equipment are also not discussed in detail here. Their contribution to the measured ambient concentrations at airports is however taken into account.

Aircraft related pollutants such as PM, BC, CO, NO\(_x\), and PAH have been in the focus for a longer time (Agrawal et al., 2008a; Herndon et al., 2005; Petzold et al., 1999; Rogers et al., 2005; Schäfer et al., 2003) and their emission factors are reported in the literature and measured by aircraft manufacturers. International databases for emission factors have been established, e.g. Engine Exhaust Emission Database operated by the International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO) hosted by the European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA, 2012) for jet engines or the Swedish FOI (www.foi.se, for turboprops). Several of the more traditional pollutants are regulated by emission limits and air quality standards.

As for other combustion processes the nanoparticle emissions originate mainly from combustion products of the aircraft fuel or even unburned fuel, lubricants and condensates of low volatile vapours in the exhaust. However, much less information exists in the literature on PNCs and so far no limit values or standards have been established, neither for aircraft emission factors nor ambient concentrations. However health concerns related to nanoparticles have triggered several large studies investigating particle number emissions e.g. the European project PartEmis (Petzold et al., 2003) or the Aircraft Particle Emission eXperiment (APEX) in the US (Kinsey et al., 2010) as well smaller local studies (Ellermann et al., 2011; Herndon et al., 2005; Mazaheri et al., 2009).

#### 4.4.2. Nanoparticle emissions and their characteristics

Aircraft emissions are usually characterized in the different operating modes of the landing/take-off (LTO) cycle. As expected emissions and emission index (EI) (expressed as emission per fuel unit) are often found to be very dependent on operating conditions and thrust level as engine start-up, idle, taxi, take off, cruise, and approach (Herndon et al., 2005; Mazaheri et al., 2009). Lower-power operating conditions produce more CO and hydrocarbons than high power operations, while particle and NO\(_x\) emissions have a nearly linear relationship with engine thrust levels (Mazaheri et al., 2009). The measured particle number emission factor, PNEF (kg\(^{-1}\)fuel) from sampling plumes of aircrafts at different airports is in the range 10\(^{15}\)–10\(^{17}\) kg\(^{-1}\)fuel (Herndon et al., 2005; Kinsey et al., 2010; Mazaheri et al., 2009; Zhu et al., 2011). More specific numbers and references regarding aircraft emission are given in Table 1.

Fuel properties especially sulphur content have a significant impact on the emissions. Aircraft fuel has still much higher sulphur content, e.g. 900 ppm (Ellermann et al., 2011) or 50–1270 ppm (Petzold et al., 2003), compared to road vehicle fuels leading to high SO\(_x\) emissions with large potential to form nanoparticles via nucleation of sulphuric acid. Petzold et al. (2003) observed the formation of high numbers of sub-10-nm particles for fuels with high sulphur content. Also the PNEF increased from low to high sulphur content by a factor of 2–3. Kinsey et al. (2010) measured an exponential and linear increase in particle number and mass emissions, respectively, with increasing sulphur content. Such a relationship has been reported consistently in the literature (Agrawal et al., 2008a; Schröder et al., 1998). This is mainly due to the likely connection of sulphuric acid created from the sulphur content in the fuel with nucleation and condensation (Jones et al., 2012; Wählín, 2009).

The measured size distribution of particles from aircraft is dominated by small particles with diameters of 10–40 nm (Buonanno et al., 2012b; Kinsey et al., 2010; Mazaheri et al., 2009; Rogers et al., 2005; Zhu et al., 2011). Herndon et al. (2008) observed a mode at about 65 nm associated with take-off plumes and a smaller mode (about 25 nm) for idling plumes.

#### 4.5. Municipal solid waste (MSW) incineration

##### 4.5.1. Source characteristics and nanoparticle formation

According to the European Waste Incineration Directive (2000/76/EC), the incineration plants must be designed to ensure that the flue gases reach a temperature of at least 850 °C for 2 s to ensure
a complete combustion of toxic organic substances. Despite undergoing such a high temperature, a range of particles, starting from nanosize up to 75 μm can still be detected in the flue gases generated as a result of MSW incineration. The nanoparticles are formed in numerous ways such as (i) homogeneous nucleation of heavy metal vapour after the combustion when gaseous products travel through the flue gas system, followed by their growth through coagulation and condensation of other vaporised materials onto fly ash particles (Carbone et al., 2008; Harrison and Hester, 1994), and (ii) combustion of nanocomposites that remain unsorted during the waste sorting prior to the incineration site (Roes et al., 2012). Besides the above, the nanoparticles can also become airborne from the waste management site where the delivery trucks, trains or containers dump the waste into the containers; although the focus of this article remains limited to stack emissions only. As pointed out by a recent study (Roes et al., 2012), some nanocomposites containing only carbon are fully combusted and converted into CO₂, without producing nanoparticles. On the contrary, some of the nanocomposites are released to the environment with the flue gases and others (e.g. CaCO₃) are destroyed as a result of oxidation, reduction, pyrolysis or a chemical reaction but still end up with the formation of new nanoscale oxides (e.g. CaO in this case). In addition, new nanoparticles are continuously formed in the form of metal oxides, salts or soot particles regardless of nanocomposites in the waste (Roes et al., 2012). The resulting PNCs depend on the residence time of the flue gas in the combustion system and the treatment system deployed. For instance, the shorter the residence time, less are the opportunity for particles to coagulate and grow, and vice versa (Link and Wendt, 1993).

4.5.2. Nanoparticle emissions and their characteristics

The concentrations of nanoparticles can vary (∼10⁵–10⁹ cm⁻³ before the flue gas treatment) depending on various factors such as the combustible material and flue-gas treatment system deployed in MSW incinerator plants (Buonanno et al., 2009a, 2011; Shi and Harrison, 1999; Uricuolo et al., 2008). As an approximation, 1 tonne of conventional waste can produce a total of ∼3.76 × 10¹⁵ nanoparticles, with about 0.1–1 times of further addition from nanocomposites incineration (Roes et al., 2012).

However, the stack emissions are observed to be much smaller due to the removal of nanoparticles by the treatment systems compared with those prior to the flue gas treatment. The larger sized particles tend to settle prior to the flue gas, but some nanoparticles escape through the off-gas treatment systems. For nanoparticles, studies present varying results on the efficiency of treatment systems and indicate a need for further measurements. For example, Buonanno et al. (2011) measured the PNCs before (2.4 × 10⁰ cm⁻³) and after (3.5 × 10⁴ cm⁻³) passing through the fabric filter which represent a removal efficiency over 99.99%. For instance, Buonanno et al. (2009a) measured PNCs in the 30 nm to 10 μm size range in the stack of a MSW incinerator in the range 1–2 × 10⁶ cm⁻³ with a peak at about 80 nm after the flue gas passed through the treatment systems (i.e. selective non-catalytic reduction, electrostatic precipitator spray absorber system and fabric filter) in 2007. Two years later, the authors measured the PNCs in the 3–800 nm range and found the maximum values reported in the stack gas were 2 × 10⁵ cm⁻³ with a peak at about 90 nm compared with the maximum values up to 2.7 × 10⁷ cm⁻³ with a peak about 150 nm before the treatment by the high efficiency fabric filters (Buonanno et al., 2011). The arguments put forward for this notable removal was that the fabric filters, which are deployed for eliminating total dust from the flue gas, act on the mechanism of inertial and diffusive deposition that is also significantly important for removal of particles below 100 nm in size (Hinds, 1999; Kumar et al., 2008). Likewise, Maghun et al. (2003) measured the PNCs in the 17 nm–30 μm size range in the flue gas of an MSW incineration plant (23 MW) at two sampling points in the flue-gas duct (700 and 300 °C) as well as in the stack gas (80 °C). The PNCs were found in the range of ∼10⁶–10⁸ cm⁻³ before the treatment by wet electrostatic dust precipitator compared with of the order of ∼10⁵ cm⁻³ after the treatment.

Studies generally indicate a large variation in the generation of PNCs. Before the treatment the PNCs can vary in the 10⁵–10⁶ cm⁻³ range depending on the fuel types used which has been found to decrease to ∼10⁵–10⁶ cm⁻³ depending the efficiency of the flue gas treatment system used on a particular plant. The latter range is similar to that found in urban air, deriving mainly from road traffic.

4.6. Domestic biomass burning

4.6.1. Source characteristics and nanoparticle formation

Nearly 3 billion people worldwide rely on solid biomass fuels for household cooking and space heating, and about 50–60% of them often use the fuels in poorly ventilated indoor situations (Northcross et al., 2012). Particles produced by biomass burning can affect the global radiation budget by both direct (albedo increase) and indirect (cloud formation) effects (Andreae and Crutzen, 1997; Lee et al., 2010). Biomass burning, as one of the largest sources of accumulation mode particles globally, has been closely studied in many forms including laboratory burns, in situ experiments, remote sensing, and modelling (Reid et al., 2005). It is well established that wood-burning stoves and fireplaces as well as wildland and agricultural fires emit significant quantities of known health-damaging pollutants, including several carcinogenic compounds causing adverse effects on human health via several pathways (e.g. lung function, asthma, cancer) leading to 1–2 million premature deaths per year globally (Naeher et al., 2007; WHO, 2002).

Solid biomass fuels consist mainly of carbon, hydrogen and oxygen that get converted to about 90% into CO₂, CO and water. However, as with fossil fuels, incomplete combustion of biomass also produces both gaseous and particulate air pollution, such as particles in the nanosize range, fine and coarse particles, CO, VOC, NO₂ and formaldehyde (Belling et al., 2009). In particles from biomass burning a number of trace species such as metals (Na, K, S, Zn, Ti, Fe etc.) and inorganic ions (nitrate, sulphate etc.) are reported (Kleeman et al., 1999; Tissari et al., 2008) as well as poly-cyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) both in the particle and gas phase (Glasius et al., 2008; Hedberg et al., 2002; Schauer et al., 2001). Biomass burning includes a number of other sources such as wildland fires and agricultural residue or waste burning (see Section 4.7), power generation (Section 4.8), prescribed burning and residential and wood combustion (covered here) (Hosseini et al., 2010). A large body of literature and review articles is available on the characteristics of the emissions in terms of particle mass and chemical composition (Andreae and Merlet, 2001; Guofeng et al., 2012; Reid et al., 2005), while articles including particle number emissions are still relatively sparse (see references later in this Section 4.6).

The number and size distributions of particles produced by biomass burning differ substantially depending on the combustion phase (ignition, flaming and smouldering), fuel condition (live, dead and varying moisture content), fuel configuration (dense vs. light; softwood vs. lightwood; plain vs. sloped terrain), fuel types (foliage, log, branch) and measurement (or experimental) conditions applied (Hosseini et al., 2010). Since the production of nanoparticles depend significantly on combustion characteristics, it is worth mentioning that unlike ‘flaming combustion’, ‘smouldering combustion’ is the least efficient with the limited oxygen, producing most smoke (and larger sized particles) per unit of fuel.
consumed. Hence the nanoparticles emitted during the smouldering combustion have a higher fraction of volatile species than those generated during the flaming combustion (Maruf Hossain et al., 2012). Similarly, Hueglin et al. (1997) investigated the morphology and size distribution of wood combustion particles and found that the amount of combustion air supply had strong impact on the particle size distribution and the emission of particle bound PAH. Tissari et al. (2008) measured the particle and gaseous emissions from wood combustion finding increases of 3.5 times for CO, 14 times for VOC and 6 times for PM$_1$ during smouldering combustion compared to normal combustion. The emissions of inorganic compounds (‘fine ash’) and particle number emissions were lower from smouldering combustion compared to normal combustion. According to electron microscopy analyses, the observed ultrafine (<100 nm) ash particles seemed to occur mainly as separate spherical or irregularly shaped particles but not as agglomerates. Large agglomerates were found to contain mainly carbon and are considered to be primarily soot particles. The ultrafine mode in the particle number distributions was determined mainly by the amount of released ash forming material in combustion and the shifting of particle size during different combustion conditions was determined by the amount of condensed organic vapour in the flue gas (Tissari et al., 2008).

4.6.2. Nanoparticle emissions and their characteristics

As for the case with nanoparticles released from the combustion of fossil fuels (Carpentieri and Kumar, 2011; Dall’Osto et al., 2011), number and size distribution vary both temporally and spatially due to the influence of transformation processes such as nucleation, coagulation and condensation within and downstream of the biomass burning. The key factors causing this uncertainty are the sampling locations, sampling temperature, dilution ratios, combustion conditions and fuel type (Lipsky and Robinson, 2006). While some have found unimodal distributions (Wardoyo, 2007), others have reported bimodal (Maruf Hossain et al., 2012) and even both types of distributions (Zhang et al., 2012) from the combustion of different types of wood. Some studies might miss a coarse mode peak due to the limitations of the particle size range covered by the instruments used. Other studies (Zhang et al., 2012) have also witnessed the gradual conversion of bimodal distributions into unimodal during the last few minutes of the burning period. For instance, Hosseini et al. (2010) tested 8 different wetland fuels and found a major mode of particle size distributions varying in the 29–52 nm size range. They noticed unimodal particle size distributions for most fuel types during the flaming phase and strongly bimodal during the smouldering phase. Hays et al. (2002) also found unimodal distributions during their open fire/combustion of 6 fine (foliar) fuels common to fire-prone U.S. ecosystems. Their experiments mimicked the real world open fire conditions and found geometric mean diameters (GMD) between 100 and 200 nm. Likewise, Chakrabarty et al. (2006) measured the particle size distribution from laboratory combustion of 8 different fuels and measured a count mean diameter (CMD) varying between 30 and 70 nm for dry fuels which increased to between 120 and 140 nm for wet fuels (tundra core and Montana grass). Further, Hedberg et al. (2002) tested birch wood, which is a widely used biomass fuel for wood stove combustion in Swedish household appliances. They kept the fuel load constant during all their six experiments and found quite constant number size distributions during the start-up phase for the smallest mode, with a CMD of 52 nm. The size-dependent concentrations were more variable for the intermediate and smouldering phases, while the CMD of the two modes were found to be stable at ~26–28 nm and 125–129 nm, respectively. A recent study by Zhang et al. (2012) characterised the emissions of a locally grown wood in Guizhou province of China. They measured the number and size distributions in the 10–10,000 nm range at about 2–3 m away from the wood fire in a kitchen and measured bimodal distributions, which were found to be peaking at about 10–20 nm and 40–50 nm, respectively. The PNCs ranged between 1.8 and 3.7 $\times$ 10$^3$ cm$^{-3}$ depending on the burning periods.

For fast burning (flaming combustion) of the five common tree species found in South East Queensland (Australia) forests, the PNEF were found to be 3.3–5.7 $\times$ 10$^3$ kg$^{-1}$ for wood and 0.5–6.9 $\times$ 10$^3$ kg$^{-1}$ for leaves and branches, with a CMD of 60 nm during ignition and 30 nm for the rest of the burning process (Wardoyo et al., 2006). For slow burning (smouldering combustion) the PNEF were found to be 2.8–45 $\times$ 10$^3$ kg$^{-1}$ for wood and 0.5–9.3 $\times$ 10$^3$ kg$^{-1}$ for leaves and branches, with a CMD of 120, 60 and 40 nm during ignition, flaming and smouldering phases, respectively (Wardoyo et al., 2006). Similarly, Hedberg et al. (2002) measured the PNCs from birch wood burning at approximately 1 m away from the stove. Median PNCs in the 3–920 nm range, which were measured using a DMPS over consecutive 10 min periods after fire start-up excluding the first 10 min, varied in the range 1.5–6 $\times$ 10$^7$ cm$^{-3}$. They found the emission factors in the range 1.3–97 $\times$ 10$^{11}$ kg$^{-1}$ wood.

4.7. Forest fires and burning of agriculture residues

4.7.1. Source characteristics and nanoparticle formation

Burning of agriculture residues (e.g. rice and wheat straw) and forest fire (e.g. hardwood such as oak and softwood such as pine) emit notable emissions of PM (including nanoparticles) to the atmospheric environment. These can adversely affect both the public health (Belling et al., 2009) and the radiation balance since nanoparticles acts as a cloud condensation nuclei (Petters et al., 2009). Forest wildfires have been on the rise in recent decades, as evident by recent reports of higher large-wildfire frequency, longer wildfire durations, and longer wildfire seasons in western US (Bowman et al., 2009; Westerling et al., 2007). Pollution episodes associated with wildfire emissions affect atmospheric chemistry and radiative properties of particles (Hodzic et al., 2007; Petters et al., 2009) due to the release of fine particles and trace gases in high amounts which are also precursors for ozone. Consequently, wildfires lead to the deterioration of air quality at regional and local scales causing serious health concerns (Belling et al., 2009). Long-range transport of biomass plumes is an important factor to control the spatial and temporal variability of properties and atmospheric load of particles (Petzold et al., 2007). For example, Fiedler et al. (2011) predicted that during transport across the Atlantic, the aged African smoke particles have a potential to become activated already at very small supersaturation (0.05%) which would allow them to act as cloud condensation nuclei in maritime stratiform cloud formation.

In flaming combustion (higher temperature), particle formation begins with the creation of condensation nuclei such as PAH from ejected fuel gases as well as from a variety of soot-like species (Reid et al., 2005, and references therein) onto which condensable vapours can condensate. In smouldering combustion (lower temperature), the mass fraction of soot in smoke particles is very low, and particles are largely formed by the condensation of volatile organics on any available particles or surfaces (Reid et al., 2005).

4.7.2. Nanoparticle emissions and their characteristics

Reid et al. (2005) give a comprehensive review of biomass burning emissions including fundamentals on particle formation, physical properties (size and morphology), chemical composition, thermodynamic properties and emission factors (in units of g kg$^{-1}$)
dry fuel mass burned). A fuel carbon content of 45% is usually assumed in order to derive emission factors from emission ratios (Andreae and Merlet, 2001). Fresh smoke particles are composed of ~50–60% organic carbon and ~5–10% black carbon with count median diameters in the range of 100–160 nm peaking at ~130 nm and volume median diameter in the range of 250–300 nm (Reid et al., 2005). Petzold et al. (2007) measured the aged forest fire plume using an aboard research aircraft and reported that particle size distributions were characterised by a strong internally mixed accumulation mode centred at 250–300 nm, and all particles contained a non-volatile core.

While emission factors for grassland and savanna are relatively well known very large uncertainty still exists in emission factors (both for mass and number) for boreal, temperate, and some tropical forests. Most of the published emission factors concern PM10 and PM2.5 (Reid et al., 2005; Yokelson et al., 2007). Sinha et al. (2003b) measured PNCs in the savanna fire plumes using an aboard research aircraft over southern Africa (SAFARI 2000 project). The PNEFs (30 ± 17) × 1015 kg−1 were 3–14 times greater than the laboratory value (3.4 × 1015 kg−1) given by Andreae and Merlet (2001). Guyon et al. (2005) obtained the average PNEF of 1.5 × 1015 kg−1 for the tropical forest.

A recent review by Janhall et al. (2010) focuses on particle number and mass emission factors from forest, savanna and grass fire emissions. Emission factors were related to fuel type, burning conditions in terms of modified combustion efficiency (MCE) and PNC/CO emissions ratios. Fresh emissions are found to have CMDs of about 120 nm and PNEF in the range (1.2–3.4) × 1015 kg−1 and PNC/CO emissions ratios of (34 ± 16) cm−3 ppb−1.

Like domestic wood burning (Section 4.6), the combustion of agriculture residue also produces varying particle number and size distributions. A recent study investigated emissions characteristics of particles in the 20–10,000 nm size range of three combustion conditions (open fire, flaming and smouldering) in laboratory simulations (Maruf Hossain et al., 2012). They found unimodal distributions for rice straw burning in all three combustion conditions. Also a positive shift in the particle mode diameters was observed, peaking at ~53, 88 and 141 nm for the open burning, smouldering and flaming combustion, respectively. Likewise, Hays et al. (2005) observed unimodal distributions for particles in the 10–400 nm size range during their combustion experiments on wheat straw. Further experiments of Maruf Hossain et al. (2012) reported identical, bimodal, distributions for oak and pine wood combustion. Although distinct differences were observed during the flaming and smouldering combustion; flaming produced a large mode at about 200 nm for both woods compared with smouldering producing a relatively large mode at ~500 nm. One of the key reasons offered for this varying size distributions was the presence of over 200 OC compounds which have a broad range of melting points (less than 0 °C to more than 250 °C), potentially facilitating the process of attachments with other particles (Hays et al., 2005).

4.8. Power plants

4.8.1. Source characteristics and nanoparticle formation

Power plants combust a blend of fuels, including coal and biomass (e.g. wood, waste and agriculture residue) and hence differ the formation mechanism and size distributions of nanoparticles. Since nanoparticle emissions from the biomass burning have been covered in Sections 4.6 and 4.7, the focus of this section remains on coal-fired power plants.

Pulverised coal combustion generally occur in air-blown, oxygen enriched, furnaces which produces both the nanoparticles and PM in abundance (Carbone et al., 2010a). While the supermicron particles are formed via fragmentation, coagulation, or coalescence during pulverized coal combustion (Wang et al., 2008), submicron particles are formed through the vapourisation of metals in the form of refractory oxides, their subsequent heterogeneous or homogenous nucleation and growth via condensation, sintering or condensation (Carbone et al., 2010a). Chemical analysis of coal-fired power plants induced particles indicate totally different chemical composition of nanoparticles compared with those of fine and coarse fraction (Wang et al., 2008), with high concentrations of easily vapourising elements (Na and S) in nanosize range that promote condensation/nucleation formation mechanism (Kulmala et al., 2004; Kumar et al., 2011a). The enrichment of such metals has also been reported by other studies focussing on the sampling of stack gas emissions from the coal-fired power plants (Yoo et al., 2005), supporting the vapourisation—condensation—nucleation formation route. The elements such as chloride promote the formation of volatile compounds, leading to multimodal particle size distributions (Carbone et al., 2010b). Despite the fact that coal-fired power plants produce about 41% of worldwide electricity (2006 data; http://www.worldcoal.org/coal), there is yet a handful of studies on nanoparticle emissions and their size distributions.

4.8.2. Nanoparticle emissions and their characteristics

Nanoparticle emissions have been studied through the laboratory, pilot- and full-scale coal combustor plants (Table 1). Since coal-power plants are largely associated with PM emissions, flue gas treatment devices are mostly applied at the exit point of emissions (Tian et al., 2012). The devices such as the electrostatic precipitator (ESP) are capable of removing over 98% of the PM from the flue gases but their efficiency decreases with the decrease in particle size and hence are extremely less effective in removing nanoparticles due to their partial or insufficient diffusion charging (see also Section 4.5). Furthermore, the coagulation rates of both carbonaceous and metal particles below 10 nm diameter drops significantly at high temperatures due to their sticking coefficient for both coagulation and adhesion being orders of magnitudes lower than those expected for larger size particles such as soot (D’Alessio et al., 2005), providing them the ability to survive exhaust conditions and to sneak into the ambient atmosphere in notable quantities (Carbone et al., 2008, 2010a).

Most studies are in consensus that the PNDs from coal-power plants are multimodal and produce notable quantities of PNCs (~106–1010 cm−3) in nanosize range before the flue gas treatment devices. After the treatment, these concentrations can still remain more than 2 orders of magnitude larger (i.e. up to ~1010 cm−3) over the typical rural background PNCs (i.e. up to ~109 cm−3) depending on the efficiency of the flue treatment devices and quality of coal burned. For instance, Wang et al. (2008) studied a 100 MW full scale coal-fired power plant in China. They measured the PNCs in the 5.6–560 nm range at the outlet of a twin-chamber ESP as 6 × 108 cm−3 which were ~2 orders of magnitude larger than the unusually high PMCs (3.17 × 1010 cm−3) in surrounding ambient air. Likewise, Li et al. (2009) measured the PNDs in the 17–1000 nm range from a 160 kW pilot-scale coal combustor which was equipped with a single-wire tubular ESP to collect fly ash particles. The typical PNCs at the ESP inlet were of the order of ~105 cm−3. The ESP removed over 3 orders of magnitude PNCs. The authors also observed increased penetration through the ESP with decreasing particle size below 70 nm due to their insufficient or partial charging.

Closer inspection of the measured PNDs from the coal combustor plants indicates two or sometimes three modal peaks, mainly in sub-100 nm range, indicating the abundance of PNCs in UFP size range. For instance, Wang et al. (2008) measured bi-modal distributions in the pre-treated flue gas from a full-scale power plant peaking at ~9.31 and 60 nm; Zhao et al. (2010) observed peak
PND diameters in the 10–20 nm and 200–300 nm range. Zhuang and Biswas (2001) found the geometric mean particle size in the 63–84 nm range during a bench-scale pulverized coal combustor study. Li et al. (2009) found a dominant peak of PNDs at ~75 nm during a pilot-scale study. Other pilot-scale studies observed peak of PNDs in the 40–50 nm range for medium sulphur bituminous coal combustion (Chang et al., 2004), and at ~80 nm for Power River Basin subbituminous coal combustion (Senior et al., 2000). Irrespective of whether the coal combustion is studied through bench-, pilot-, or full-scale studies with any type of coal (e.g. pulverised bituminous, subbituminous), the dominant peaks of PNDs below 100 nm range indicate a clear consensus that a significant amount of tiny sized PNC are produced and more efficient flue gas treatment devices are needed to control their direct, and precursor gases and elemental species, emissions.

4.9. Cigarette smoking

4.9.1. Source characteristics and nanoparticle formation

Environmental tobacco smoke from waterpipes, cigars or cigarettes produces a variety of harmful pollutants which can affect both the health of smokers and non-smokers (Klepeis et al., 2003). As a consequence, smoking of cigarettes has been banned in many public places of the world which has resulted in significant reductions in concentrations of ambient nanoparticles. For instance, Valente et al. (2007) studied the indoor air quality in 40 public places before and after the smoking ban in all indoor public places in Italy. They noticed a significant decrease in mean PNCs of 7.7 × 10^4 cm\(^{-3}\) to 3.8 × 10^4 cm\(^{-3}\) after 3 months in studied hospitality venues. The scope of this section is limited to emissions from cigarettes only. This does not imply that the waterpipes or cigars do not produce many nanoparticles. In fact they do as studies have found up to 5 times more particle number emissions from a single waterpipe smoking session compared with those emitted by a single cigarette (Daher et al., 2010). Particle mass based studies also confirm that the equivalent total particle emission rate could be in the range 0.2–0.7 mg min\(^{-1}\) for cigars and 0.7–0.9 mg min\(^{-1}\) for cigarettes (Klepeis et al., 2003). The reason to keep our discussions limited to cigarettes is mainly the brevity of the article and the fact that these are the dominant source of tobacco combustion. Cigarettes produce smoke through both sidestream (i.e. undiluted plume coming from the cigarette smouldering end) and mainstream (i.e. undiluted puff of smoke drawn through cigarette and exhaled by the smoker). The discussions below are focused towards the sidestream emissions.

Numerous physicochemical processes takes place inside a burning end of a cigarette that provide an oxygen-deficient, hydrogen-rich environment with temperatures up to ~950 °C (Baker, 1980). This process produces thousands of chemicals distributed between both the gas and aerosol phase. Significant amounts of nanoparticles are formed due to the gas-to-particle conversion from the interaction of cigarette smoke with the ambient air. The smoke is formed due to incomplete combustion of tobacco in the presence of varying oxygen and temperature levels and additive ingredients. The extent of nanoparticle emissions is evident from the fact that one cigarette can typically produce particles of the order of ~10^{11–12} min\(^{-1}\) or a total of ~10^{12} cigarette\(^{-1}\) (see Section 4.9.2 and Table 1).

4.9.2. Nanoparticle emissions and their characteristics

Emissions from cigarettes are difficult to characterise with accuracy because of the complexities of the cigarette combustion process (e.g. varying temperature and oxygen levels) and interaction of the particle plume with the ambient air (Morawska et al., 1997). Further complexities are raised by the units used to measure emissions which make the direct comparison of the results difficult. Some studies characterise emissions in the form of ‘# cigarette\(^{-1}\)’, others have used ‘# min\(^{-1}\)’ or ‘# cm\(^{-3}\)’. The first two units are comparable when duration of smoking was known. Wu et al. (2011) measured number and size distributions of particles during cigarette smouldering using an SMPS. The mean PNEF in UFP size range were found to be 3.36 ± 0.34 × 10^{11} min\(^{-1}\), with only ~7% standard deviation for number concentrations between the five popular brands of cigarettes used. Other studies reported comparable emissions to that reported by Wu et al. (2011) such as 3.8 × 10^{11} min\(^{-1}\) (Afshari et al., 2005) and 1.91 ± 1.92 × 10^{11} min\(^{-1}\) (He et al., 2004). In terms of total particle number emitted per cigarette, Daher et al. (2010) measured 0.64 ± 0.19 × 10^{12} cigarette\(^{-1}\) averaged over the 4 repetitive cigarette trials. Similar results were reported by a recent study that found a range of total particle number emissions over a wide range of experiments as 1–5.1 × 10^{12} cigarette\(^{-1}\) (Wallace and Ott, 2011).

Few studies have characterised cigarette emissions in terms of elevated concentrations in indoor environments. For instance, He et al. (2004) measured PNCs as 2.7 × 10^6 cm\(^{-3}\) which were about 1.5 times over the background values. Morawska et al. (2003) reported elevated concentrations in the range 2 × 10^5 cm\(^{-3}\) to 3.5 × 10^6 cm\(^{-3}\). However, the numbers of cigarette smoked in test rooms were not clear in both these studies. In a study by Hussein et al. (2006), smoking of one cigarette in the living room increased PNCs about 6 times to 3.6 × 10^4 over the base-line PNCs. Three cigarettes were continuously burned for about 10 min during the experiments by Afshari et al. (2005) which resulted in maximum PNCs up to 2.1 × 10^5 cm\(^{-3}\) that lasted for about 20 min and took about 300 min to return back to background PNCs.

Despite different origins, measurement techniques used and combustion conditions available for cigarette smouldering, particle size distributions measured by studies seem to be in consensus with each other. Results indicate a peak in size distributions at about 100 nm, with a notable amount of PNCs over the 100 nm. For example, Wallace and Ott (2011) measured a peak at about 100 nm, and an increase in ambient PNCs from the background 0.6 × 10^5 cm\(^{-3}\) to 4.1 × 10^5 cm\(^{-3}\) in the 100–400 nm size range with an indication of a substantial portion of particles over 100 nm range. Morawska et al. (1997) found peak values at slightly lower sizes (i.e. between 60 and 90 nm) while Wu et al. (2011) and Daher et al. (2010) found peaks at sizes above 100 nm (i.e. at ~110 and 132 ± 11 nm, respectively).

4.10. Cooking (restaurant/residential)

4.10.1. Source characteristics and nanoparticle formation

Cooking is not a direct outdoor source but this often raises concentrations of air pollutants, including nanoparticles, during cooking in indoor environments. This indoor concentration escapes to outdoor ambient environments via ventilation (Schauer et al., 1996b). In this manner, each house acts as an important ‘point source’ for outdoor emissions. Cooking activities have been investigated both in residential kitchens (He et al., 2004; Morawska et al., 2003; Wan et al., 2011), commercial restaurants (Buonanno et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2001) and open uncontrolled environments such as barbeques (Demmekamp et al., 2001). A large body of literature exists on this topic but a brief overview pertaining to nanoparticle emissions from gas and electric stoves based cooking is included in this chapter. Cooking using solid fuels and biomass (e.g. coal, wood, animal dung, crop residues) are not covered here to avoid repetition (see Sections 4.6–4.8).

Nanoparticles are formed in both situations, due to the incomplete combustion of fuel, oil, and food. The cooking fumes contain numerous organic and inorganic compounds that lead to nanoparticle formation through gas-to-particle conversion.
(Wallace et al., 2004). Quantities of emissions depend heavily on type of cooking in European, Asian, Australian and American continents (Buonanno et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2010) and factors such as intensity of cooking, quantity and type of ingredients used, characteristics of sampling sites, height and location of particle sampling instrument, type of instruments used for nanoparticle monitoring, building geometry, ventilation type (natural or mechanical) and rates of cooking shelters, indoor temperature, relative humidity, quantity of fuel burned and oil used (See and Balasubramanian, 2006).

4.10.2. Nanoparticle emissions and their characteristics

As for emissions from sources such as cigarettes, characterising the nanoparticle emissions from cooking and making their direct comparison is a challenging task. This is mainly because of diverse cooking and measurement conditions, besides their measurements in a variety of units (i.e. emissions per minute in # min⁻¹ or elevated concentrations over background during a cooking activity in # cm⁻³).

Studies indicate that the indoor PNCs can increase between 1 and 30 times over the background values during a range of cooking activities, which can lead to indoor concentration values to about 15 times higher than those observed (7.4 × 10⁶ cm⁻³) in outdoors (He et al., 2004). This increase, as expected in the case of stir frying, has been reported up to about 85 times higher (See and Balasubramanian, 2006). For instance, this study found PNC levels in the 8–10,000 nm size range to increase during the cooking hours to 7.7 × 10⁵ cm⁻³ over those (9.1 × 10³ cm⁻³) during the non-cooking hours. Another recent study by Zhang et al. (2010) reported similar results and found the average background PNCs (3.7 × 10⁴ cm⁻³) to increase rapidly to 3.6 × 10⁵ cm⁻³ after frying chicken. They also observed a difference of about 45-fold (i.e. 1.3 × 10⁴ to 6.0 × 10⁵ cm⁻³) for a range of Indian (rice, eggs, vegetables, chicken), Italian (pasta, vegetables), Chinese (shrimp and vegetable) and American (fried chicken) cooking activities. Stir frying, which is generally preferred in Chinese cooking, produces many more particles than other cooking methods (Li et al., 1993), as is the case with cooking using a gas stove (e.g. 5.6 × 10⁶ cm⁻³) compared with an electric stove (e.g. 1.1 × 10⁶ cm⁻³) (Dennekamp et al., 2001). PNCs are much lower during boiling compared with the highest levels during frying. The PNCs in the ultrafine size range were found to be 10-fold and 20–40 fold over the background in the living room and kitchen, respectively, during a study by Wan et al. (2011) in naturally ventilated, non-smoking, homes during Chinese-style cooking. A summary of cooking studies reports the maximum PNCs varying between 1.5 × 10⁶ cm⁻³ and 5.6 × 10⁶ cm⁻³ (Afshari et al., 2005; Hussein et al., 2006; Morawska et al., 2003; Wu et al., 2011).

As seen in Table 1, few studies have computed the emission rates (# min⁻¹) from cooking activities and reflect similar sorts of variations (i.e. ranging within 2 orders of magnitude between ~10¹⁰ to ~10¹² min⁻¹) as those measured through concentration levels (# cm⁻³). For instance, He et al. (2004) measured emission rates in the 15–685 nm size range and found them varying between 0.35 and 7.3 × 10¹¹ min⁻¹ for a range of cooking activities, with the emissions during stoving and toasting leading the list with 51.4 × 10¹¹ min⁻¹ and 16.7 × 10¹¹ min⁻¹, respectively. Wu et al. (2011) reported even higher variations in emission rates (4.7–148.3 × 10¹¹ min⁻¹) during their measurements of 6 cooking activities, with the peak diameters of size distributions and count mean diameter varying in the range 16.2–36.1 nm, and 19.7–41.4 nm, respectively. Buonanno et al. (2009b) found the particle number emission rates in the range 2.6–3.4 × 10¹² min⁻¹ for grilling cheese, wurstel (pork meat), bacon and eggplant using a gas stove. The ratio of emission rates between gas and electric stoves was found to be 1.3 for grilling 50 g of bacon.

In summary, while emissions of nanoparticles are a function of food type, cooking contents and fuel used (see also Section 4.10.1), larger PNEFs are generally observed for both gas and electric stoves at higher cooking temperatures (Buonanno et al., 2009b). Similar to gas and electric stove cooking (Dennekamp et al., 2001), boiling has been found to produce lesser particles compared with frying (Zhang et al., 2010). Irrespective of any type of cooking, number and size distributions are generally dominated by the particles below 100 nm, e.g., showing major peak diameters in the range 16.2–36.1 nm (Wu et al., 2011), ~21 nm (Wan et al., 2011), 20–40 nm (Géhina et al., 2008), 30–50 nm (Li et al., 1993), or 22–72 nm (Dennekamp et al., 2001). This also indicates the presence of most of the particles in the lower size range, with ~80% of total PNCs below 100 nm (Wan et al., 2011).

4.11. Secondary formation of nanoparticles

4.11.1. Source characteristics and nanoparticle formation

Secondary formation through photochemically induced nucleation and condensation of semi-volatile vapours is an important source of nanoparticles, especially in less polluted environments. Nucleation events are frequently observed in all kinds of clean and polluted environments and several theories and mechanisms for nucleation have been suggested and are highly debated in the community (Holmes, 2007; Kulmala et al., 2005, 2004). Still the exact mechanism remains a mystery partly due to the limitation of measuring techniques at very low particle sizes. However, sulphuric acid seems to play a crucial role in the nucleation (Sipila et al., 2010).

In parallel to the initial nucleation, the particles need to grow through condensation of supersaturated vapours on the surface of the nucleated particles. It is believed that particle growth often occurs through the condensation of other gases, including organic and inorganic compounds, than those responsible for nucleation (Holmes, 2007). Besides the nucleation particles, the pre-existing aerosol is a competing sink for condensable gases (condensation sink) and causes nucleation to be favoured by clean environments.

The required low-volatility compounds are usually formed by photochemical reactions between gaseous precursor species in the presence of solar radiation. Photochemically induced particle formation is generally observed during the day time under the following conditions: (i) high solar radiation, (ii) an increased wind speed and boundary layer height leading to dilution of pollutants and the consequent decrease of pollutant concentrations, (iii) the input of SO₂ from a source different from traffic exhaust emissions (Reche et al., 2011). The major precursor gases playing a role in photochemical nucleation include SO₂ (which form particulate sulphate) and oxidation of terpenes and aromatic hydrocarbons (which form secondary organic aerosols) (Alam et al., 2003; Tunved et al., 2006). Another nucleation mechanism is based on iodine oxides (O’Dowd et al., 2002).

4.11.2. Nanoparticle emissions and their characteristics

The contribution of particles from nucleation can be very dominant. Over boreal forests particle nucleation leads to a natural background PNC of about 1–2 × 10⁶ cm⁻³ especially in later spring and early autumn (Dal Maso et al., 2005; Tunved et al., 2006). Kulmala et al. (2005) studied particle formation events in six different sites, representing very different air pollution conditions. The estimated source rate of condensable vapours covered a range of four orders of magnitude. Also the peak PNC during a typical nucleation event depended strongly on the type of environment ranging from only about 2 × 10⁵ cm⁻³ in Antarctica over about 10⁶ cm⁻³ in moderately polluted environments to 10⁸ cm⁻³ in highly polluted environments (Athens and New Delhi; Kulmala et al., 2005). Particle formation events have frequently (up to 36% of all
days) been observed in the rural and urban environment leading to a sudden 5–10 fold increase in particle concentrations (Ketzel et al., 2003, 2004; Kristensson et al., 2008).

Reche et al. (2011) measured a number of pollutants, including PNCs, in seven selected urban areas covering road traffic, urban background, urban—industrial, and urban—shipping environments from southern, central and northern Europe. They indicated that photochemistry in Mediterranean regions is a significant source of secondary formation, showing a contribution from 64 to 85% of PNCs during mid-day (11:00–14:00 h UTC) in Southern European cities from sources other than vehicles, including photochemistry (though separate contributions from photochemistry was not estimated). They also concluded that the occurrence of SO2 peaks may also contribute to the occurrence of midday nucleation in specific industrial or shipping-influenced areas, although similar levels of SO2 are recorded at several central European sites without yielding nucleation episodes. A more specific study by Pey et al. (2009) for the urban background in Barcelona city attributed ~3% of total PNCs to photochemically induced nucleation.

A number of past studies have investigated this topic comprehensively and have covered various environments such as the free troposphere (Weber et al., 2001), boreal forests (Tunved et al., 2006; Vehkamaki et al., 2004), coastal areas (Lee et al., 2008), Southern Hemisphere (Cheung et al., 2011; Kulmala et al., 2004), continental urban areas (Birmili and Wiedensohler, 2000; Wu et al., 2008), coastal urban areas (Pey et al., 2009; Reche et al., 2011; Rodriguez et al., 2008), as well as South-East Australian (Ristovski et al., 2010) and Eastern Australian regions (Modini et al., 2009). Therefore, only a brief discussion is presented here for the sake of the completeness of the article.

5. Relative importance of source strengths

While some of the sources are measured in terms of increased environmental concentrations due to emissions from an individual source, others are measured in the form of emission rates per unit time or number per unit of quantity (e.g. fuel, weight or length) burned (see Section 4 and Table 1). This makes the inter-comparison of source strengths and establishing their relative importance challenging. We have attempted to make the comparison of emissions from individual sources discussed in Section 4 with the most dominant source of nanoparticles (i.e. road vehicles) so that their relative importance can be approximated.

5.1. Road—tyre interaction and re-suspension

Road dust has been recognised as a dominant source of PM10 in urban areas, particularly during springtime in Scandinavia, North America and Japan. The PM10 limit value by EU has been exceeded in many European cities. Dahl et al. (2006) studied ultrafine particle emissions produced by the road/tyre interface on a laboratory road simulator. They reported PNEFs in the range 4–30 × 1011 cm−1 at speeds of 50 km h−1 and 70 km h−1 for studded and non-studded tyres, respectively. These emissions corresponded to around 0.1–1% of the exhaust emissions from a vehicle fleet consisting 95% gasoline and 5% diesel-fuelled cars, but are the same order of magnitude with the emission factors from new technology light-duty gasoline vehicles.

5.2. Construction and demolition

There is only a handful of data available to compare the emissions of building activities with traffic emissions (see Section 4.2). A recent laboratory investigation found total PNCs about ~2.3, 5.2, 20.4, 25.1 (×104) cm−3 which were ~2, 3, 14 and 17 times over the background during cube crushing, ‘wet’ recycling, slab demolition and ‘dry’ recycling, respectively (Kumar et al., 2012c). Our recent study reviewed a total of about 45 sampling locations in 30 different cities within 15 European countries for quantifying levels of roadside PNCs (Kumar et al., 2012a). Average PNCs at the reviewed roadside were found to be 3.8 ± 3.2 × 104 cm−3. Comparison of these values suggest that, except concrete crushing processes, the other three process produce ~1.4, 5.3 and 6.6 times larger concentrations close to the source when in continuous operation to those compared with typical roadside PNCs. Furthermore, these values are also comparable with, and in some cases up to an order of magnitude larger than, the levels of PNCs generated from road—tyre interactions for different road surfaces at different vehicle speeds (see Section 4.1.2 and Table 1). This suggests that building activities can be an unexpectedly large local source of UFP dust which previously has been ignored (Kumar et al., 2012b).

5.3. Ship emissions

Significant differences in particulate emissions can be observed due to the differences in diesel fuel compared to marine HFO. For instance, Kasper et al. (2007) compared a 2-stroke low-speed marine diesel engine with a passenger diesel car and found that the total PNC emitted by the ship was higher than that of the passenger car, and that the mean particle diameter, 25–40 nm, was smaller than the typical diesel car soot mode 70–90 nm (Mariq et al., 2002). The peak concentration for the ship and the car was around 5 × 1015 cm−3 and 2 × 1016 cm−3, respectively (Kasper et al., 2007). Similar results were reported by Jonsson et al. (2011), who furthermore estimated that the PNEF (kg−1 fuel burned) was about a factor of 10 higher for ships in comparison with diesel buses without DPF, and about a factor of 60 higher compared to an older gasoline car. Also found to be higher the particle mass emission factors were about a factor of two compared to diesel buses without DPF. Due to different chemical composition and physical properties of the fuels, as well as different conditions of combustion, the observed morphological and composition structures of ship particles differ from diesel-engine soot. Based on TEM studies and EDS spectra of hot exhaust soot particles Moldanova et al. (2009) concluded that S, V and Ni, which were detected in all types of ship-emitted particles, could be used as a tracer of the HFO combustion. However, the mass spectrum of the organic fraction of the ship particles strongly resembles that of emissions from a diesel bus (Murphy et al., 2009).

5.4. Aircraft and airports

The emission rates for particle number from aircraft vary in a relatively wide range (~1015–1017 kg−1 fuel burned) depending on the engine thrust level. This includes values of about a factor 10 higher compared to the emission rates for road vehicle engine nanoparticles (~2–11 × 1015 kg−1 fuel burned). Measurements at the apron of an airport show 2–3 times higher average PNCs compared to a busy traffic location. With respect to particle size distribution aircraft emissions cover a similar range as traffic emission with peak values around 20–40 nm (see Table 1).

5.5. Domestic biomass burning

A large body of literature demonstrates a typical range of PNCs (~103–105 cm−3) and PNEF (~1013–1015 kg−1 wood) as a consequence of domestic biomass burning under varying combustion conditions (see Table 1). These concentrations are comparable to those found near the roadsides in urban areas (~104–105 cm−3) (Kumar et al., 2010c). The case of the PNEFs is not that different. Each kg of fast and slow wood burning produces nearly the same
number of particles as for each km driven by a HDV ($\sim 10^{15}$ veh$^{-1}$ km$^{-1}$) and LDV ($\sim 10^{13}$–$10^{14}$ veh$^{-1}$ km$^{-1}$), respectively (Kumar et al., 2011a). In fact, fast wood burning produce emissions of particle numbers in a similar order as generally emitted by forest fires (see below); these both are also identical to those produced by traffic ($2.2–11 \times 10^3$ kg$^{-1}$ (Kittelson et al., 2004). Measurement campaigns in several residential areas in Denmark, where woodstoves are used in the wintertime for space heating, have revealed the contribution of wood burning emissions to the ambient particle size distributions. The part of the size distribution that is attributed to the woodstoves has a maximum at about 40–100 nm and an average PNC in the 10–700 nm size range of $0.6-1.5 \times 10^3$ cm$^{-3}$ in peak hours up to $4 \times 10^3$ cm$^{-3}$ (Glasius et al., 2008; Wåhlin et al., 2010). This corresponds to a 10–60% increase above background levels of about $2.5-5 \times 10^3$ cm$^{-3}$. Similarly Krehel et al. (2008) reported average PNCs in a Northern Swedish town during wintertime of about $5 \times 10^3$ cm$^{-3}$. Residential wood combustion was estimated to contribute with 44–57% to PNC with a peak in the 60–300 nm size range, while local traffic and long-range transport contributed with 43 and 0–13%, respectively. Thus, domestic biomass burning emissions are similar to traffic emissions both in terms of PNEF (kg$^{-1}$ fuel) and can give as well similar contributions to air quality on terms of ambient PNC.

5.6. Forest fires

Pollution episodes associated with wildfire emissions have been recognised all over the world and an increasing trend of them has been observed. The PNEF estimated from the burning of biomass during forest fires can vary in the range of $1\times10^{15}$ kg$^{-1}$, the same order as traffic nanoparticle emission factors ($2.2–11 \times 10^3$ kg$^{-1}$ (Kittelson et al., 2004), but 2–3 orders of magnitude higher than PNEFs form domestic biomass burning. However, freshly emitted forest fire particles are typically larger than traffic particles; the number size distribution is peaking at $\sim 120$ nm.

5.7. MSW incineration and power plants

The PNCs produced by MSW incineration plants are generally reported similar to rural background ($\sim 10^3$ cm$^{-3}$). Emission strength of this source appears to be up to 4 orders of magnitude smaller than those generally measured at the tailpipe of road vehicles ($\sim 10^7$ cm$^{-3}$), presumably due to the high combustion temperature and efficient emission control measures used on current technology plants (see Section 7.7). Conversely, the PNC measured at laboratory, pilot or full scale power plants have been found to vary between $\sim 10^6$ and $10^{10}$ cm$^{-3}$ at the inlet of flue gas treatment devices which has been reported to come down to the range of $\sim 10^2$–$10^3$ cm$^{-3}$ after the treatment. The treated PNCs can be up to an order of magnitude larger than those measured close to the tailpipe of road vehicles ($\sim 10^7$ cm$^{-3}$) and a few orders of magnitude larger than those generally observed along the roadsides in urban areas ($\sim 10^6$ cm$^{-3}$). About 7.1 billion tons of coal was combusted worldwide in 2011 alone and this consumption trend is expected to continue. Each cigarette produces on average $\sim 10^{12}$ particles, meaning that 1000 cigarettes burned will produce a total of $\sim 10^{15}$ particles which are equivalent to those produced by a HDV ($\sim 10^{15}$ km$^{-1}$) when travelling for 1 km. Likewise, cooking activities can raise the PNC levels up to 2 orders of magnitude over the indoor background levels, and the PNEF can broadly range between $\sim 10^{10}$ and $10^{12}$ min$^{-1}$ (see Table 1). If we take the upper values of PNEF, about 1 min of cooking can produce the similar particles generated by $\sim 10$ min of cigarette smoking ($\sim 10^{11}$ min$^{-1}$) or 1 m travel by a HDV ($\sim 10^{12}$ m$^{-1}$).

5.9. Secondary formation of nanoparticles

The rates at which nanoparticles are formed are usually very high during the nucleation burst and can easily dominate the ambient PNC with more than 90% during the nucleation event. Also in urban background and street locations secondary nanoparticle formation has been observed, especially on clean and sunny days, and can lead to 5–10 fold increase of PNCs (Ketzel et al., 2003, 2004). Both in particle size and timing of occurrence the secondary nanoparticle formation might be mistaken for traffic emission and the measurements at both urban background and street levels are essential for avoiding confusion. Secondary formation might be a significant source in background or remote areas. However, since the formed nanoparticles are expected to be droplets without a solid core, it is not likely that specific health effects are related to them and hence these are not discussed separately in Section 7.

6. Receptor modelling of source emission contributions

Currently, there are major technical challenges in using atmospheric measurements to estimate the contributions of multiple sources to airborne nanoparticle concentrations. This is a very important issue if emissions of manufactured nanoparticles are to be detected in the ambient, non-workplace atmosphere which would be a pre-requisite for determining human exposures. The ideal would be a real-time instrument capable of determining particles across the full nanoparticle size range and determining their chemical composition from which their source might be inferred, but this is not currently available.

6.1. Direct measurement methods

Characterisation of airborne particles may be conducted on a single particle basis or upon time-averaged bulk particles sampled onto an air filter, or onto a substrate in a cascade impactor. The problem with time-averaged samples is that chemical analysis subsequent to collection will provide an average composition, and even if only a narrow size range is sampled, information on individual particle types is lost as it is the entire ensemble of particles which is analysed. If, however, a type of manufactured nanomaterial contained a characteristic chemical component not normally present in the background aerosol at significant concentrations (e.g. cerium in nanoparticulate fuel additives), then an analysis of that chemical component in a specific size fraction might provide a good measure of the abundance of that type of nanoparticle in the air sample. However, where chemical components have multiple sources and can be present in many different kinds of particle, bulk analysis will not be informative unless combined with a receptor model, as outlined in Section 6.2. In order to collect physical samples of such nanoparticles, there are a very limited number of instruments available. Those most commonly used are low pressure impactors such as the Berner or Dekati impactor (Fushimi et al., 2008) in which the pressure reduction leads to a modification in the Cunningham slip correction allowing collection of very small particles by impaction, or a Micro-Orifice.
Uniform Deposit Impactor which combines both high jet velocities and reductions in pressure to facilitate impaction. A variant on the Micro-Orifice Uniform Deposit Impactor (MOUDI), known as the nano-MOUDI as used by Lin et al. (2005), is specifically designed to fractionate particles within the nanoparticle size range. However, use of this sampler in conjunction with bulk chemical analysis is challenging because of the relatively small masses of material collected which may make differentiation from the substrate and reagent blank difficult, and the fact that semi-volatile materials are very poorly collected in these kinds of impactors in which the reduced pressures encourage volatilisation.

An alternative to impaction sampling is the use of a differential mobility analyser (DMA) to select a specific size of particle from the air which is then collected on a filter for subsequent chemical analysis or examination by electron microscopy. This method depends upon electrical charging of particles as they enter the instrument and selection of a specific size of charged particle based upon its mobility within an electric field in the DMA. Provided particles receive only a single electrical charge, it is only particles of a given diameter which exit the DMA and are collected. This is a very inefficient means of particle separation (due to low charging efficiencies and losses in the DMA) but has the advantage of operating at atmospheric pressures which has been used for the sampling of particles containing semi-volatile constituents (Prashintsev et al., 2011; Ruiz-Jimenez et al., 2011).

The alternative to bulk techniques is the use of single particle analysis. This breaks down further into techniques based upon electron microscopy, and real-time techniques based upon mass spectrometry. In the former case, particles collected on a filter or directly onto an electron microscope grid can be examined using a transmission electron microscope (Jefferson, 2000; Maynard, 2000) or possibly an atomic force microscope which will allow sizing resolution down to 1 nm or potentially even smaller sizes. In the case of the electron microscope, but not the atomic force microscope, it may be possible to collect information on the chemical constitution of individual particles from the secondary x-ray spectra. However, the smaller the particle, the less intense such spectra, and consequently it is very difficult to provide detailed characterisation of such particles in the absence of prior compositional knowledge. Another difficulty with such techniques is the laborious nature, even with automated instruments, of characterising sufficient particles to be statistically representative.

Mass spectrometric techniques are highly sensitive and may operate either at a single particle or a bulk level. The Aerosol Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometer (ATOFMS) is an instrument which creates a beam of particles at its inlet as they are drawn in through a nozzle or focussing lens and accelerate into the reduced pressure within the instrument. Particle sizing is achieved by measuring the time of transit between two low powered lasers before the particle enters the source of the mass spectrometer where it is ablated by a high powered laser (Suess and Prather, 1999). This creates chemical fragments which are characterised in positive and negative mode reflectron ATOFMS giving both positive and negative mass spectra from the particle. The technique is highly sensitive, but commercial instruments which were available until recently have a lower cut-off of around 200 nm primarily because the light scattering intensities from the sizing lasers become too low at smaller particle sizes (Kane et al., 2001). However, Prather and co-workers were able to develop an instrument with greater sensitivity which characterises particles as small as 50 nm diameter (Su et al., 2004). Nonetheless, the mass spectral measurements in the ATOFMS are subject to substantial matrix effects and there are challenges to particle characterisation arising from differential sensitivity between elements, and the fact that the laser ablation process does not ablate the entire particle and quantification is even more challenging (Dall’Osto et al., 2006).

The Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (AMS) has proved extremely valuable as a continuous measurement instrument for non-refractory components of airborne particles to a lower limit of around 50 nm (Jayne et al., 2000). The instrument depends upon collection of an ensemble of particles on a hot impaction plate which are vapourised into the mass spectrometer source. A system chopping the incoming particle beam uses time-of-flight to achieve size separation. Size differentiation is obtained, and hence major non-refractory components are quantified as a function of particle size. Those components measured by the AMS are typically sulphate, nitrate, chloride and organic carbon. However, by application of the Positive Matrix Factorisation (PMF) programme to the mass spectra obtained, it may be possible to disaggregate the organic carbon into generic categories, typically hydrocarbon-like organic aerosol (HOA) and oxidised organic aerosol (OOA), the latter sometimes being sub-divided into low volatility and high volatility sub-categories. Using a combination of aerosol mass spectrometry and measures of particle hygroscopicity and volatility (Sakurai et al., 2005; Smith et al., 2005) has demonstrated the presence of new particles (6–15 nm) comprised entirely of ammonium sulphate since no other compounds were detected in these small particles. However, such observations are relatively uncommon. Evidence of the contribution of semi-volatile compounds to the condensational growth of new particles larger than 33 nm was also reported by Zhang et al. (2004) from measurements with an AMS. Nucleation appeared to involve sulphate and subsequently ammonia, with condensation of organics subsequently contributing primarily to particle growth.

Similar techniques, specifically the Thermal Desorption Particle Beam Mass Spectrometer (TDPBMS) have been applied to particles collected from engine emissions, sometimes in combination with a volatilisation and humidification tandem differential mobility analyser. This allows measurements of chemical composition derived from mass spectra to be viewed alongside particle physical properties (volatility; hygroscopicity) allowing inferences over particle composition. Schneider et al. (2005) demonstrated the importance of sulphate in initial nucleation of particles in diesel exhaust while other studies (Sakurai et al., 2003; Tobias et al., 2001) have shown the presence of branched alkanes and alkyl-substituted alkanes from lubricating oil and unburnt fuel making up a substantial part of the nanoparticle mass in diesel engine emissions. Using the Ultrafine Aerosol Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometer (UF-ATOFMS) referred to above, Sodeman et al. (2005) examined emitted particles from gasoline engines in the range 50–300 nm aerodynamic diameter, finding the dominant class comprised elemental carbon internally mixed with calcium, phosphate, sulphate and a lower abundance of organic carbon.

6.2. Source apportionment

There are a number of methods known as receptor modelling for source apportionment of airborne particulate matter based upon chemically-speciated ambient air quality data, see review by Viana et al. (2008). The most commonly used methods are the Chemical Mass Balance (CMB) model and the multivariate statistical models (Watson et al., 2002). The CMB model is based upon the assumption that the composition of particles measured in the atmosphere is a linear sum of the composition of the individual contributing sources weighted by their relative contributions. Such a model is difficult to apply to nanoparticles in the atmosphere as the chemical composition of each source in the relevant size fraction must be known, and such information is generally
available only for PM_{2.5}, PM_{10} and TSP size fractions. On the other hand, the multivariate statistical techniques require no a priori knowledge of source composition. Rather, they work by examining correlations between components in a multi-dimensional space, hence identifying those components which are associated with one another even though one element may be associated in different particle types with a number of other elements. Techniques which have been widely used include Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and PMF, both of which are variants of Factor Analysis. PMF has the advantage of weighting variables according to their analytical uncertainty, and unlike PCA, is constrained not to give negative solutions.

By far the greatest number of applications of PCA, PMF and related techniques have been to large multi-component chemical datasets of ambient measurements. As a general guideline, the number of independent samples should exceed the number of analytical variables by at least a factor of three (Pant and Harrison, 2012), and the fact that most studies involve 20 or more analytical variables requires a large number of samples in order to generate stable outcomes. While such methods have been applied in very many studies to PM_{2.5}, PM_{10} and TSP fractions of particles, there have been few, if any applications directly to nanoparticles because of the difficulty of collecting multiple sequential samples of nanoparticle concentrations in the atmosphere. The relatively long time intervals required to sample a sufficient mass of nanoparticles makes the job of the statistical programme more difficult as time averaging will tend to smear out the impact of individual sources which might otherwise be more readily identified in the data. Pey et al. (2009) combined multi-element chemical measurements in PM_{2.5} and PM_{10} with nine particle number size bins between 13 and 800 nm in a PCA analysis. The main contribution in Barcelona to PNCs in the 13–800 nm size range was vehicle exhaust (65%) and regional/urban background (24%). Regional photochemically induced nucleation accounted for 3% of PNCs in the 13–800 nm range, but 23% of PNCs in the 13–20 nm range. A small number of studies have applied PMF to hourly data. For example, Harrison et al. (2011) applied PMF to hourly particle size distribution data collected over a three week period adjacent to a busy London highway. The data were in 103 size bins from 15 nm to 10 \mu m diameter and 10 separate factors were identified representing the contributions of different source types to the measured size distributions. By this means it was possible to separate and quantify the contributions of the nucleation mode of traffic exhaust centred on 20 nm from the solid particle mode in traffic exhaust with a mode at 50–60 nm diameter. Because of the highly dynamic nature of aerosol size distributions in urban air, factor analysis methods struggle to split out individual size distributions. Working in a street canyon situation where there are predominant sources, and inputting other traffic-related and meteorological variables makes the task more tractable (Harrison et al., 2011). Other studies which have also applied PMF to repeated measurements of particle size distributions include those of Ogulei et al. (2006a, 2006b).

In another study of vehicle emissions, Klems et al. (2011) correlated spikes in PNCs with fast changes in ultrafine particle chemical composition measured with a nano-aerosol mass spectrometer in order to determine the contribution of motor vehicles to the total ambient ultrafine particle mass. They concluded that motor vehicles contribute 48% of the ultrafine particle mass at their site during a winter measurement period but only 18% in the summer period. Chemical components used to identify the motor vehicle contribution were sulphate, nitrate, ammonium, low O:C ratio organic compounds and high O:C ratio organic compounds. These are rather non-specific measurements but by using correlations with particle number count at a roadside site, were effective in identifying the vehicular contributions.

These studies of vehicle emissions are illustrative of the techniques available, as few studies have sought to quantify NES nanoparticles. Examples are the urban studies of Alam et al. (2003) and Reche et al. (2011) in which particles formed by atmospheric nucleation were differentiated from those due to traffic by using markers of traffic emissions. Fast-response measurements of nanoparticles close to airport runways have been used to identify particles arising from jet engines (Hsu et al., 2012; Hu et al., 2009). Peak nanoparticle concentrations were elevated by a factor 440 relative to background levels during a jet departure (Hu et al., 2009), but all landing and take-off activities contributed median values of 9.8% and 6.6% of longer-term average concentrations at two sites adjacent to an airport’s principal runway (Hsu et al., 2012).

7. Exposure risks, health and regulatory implications

7.1. Road–tyre interaction and re-suspension

The mechanisms and properties that make particles from the road–tyre interaction more or less toxic are not well understood. Some metals such as Fe, Cu, Pb, and Zn appear to be ubiquitous and have been repeatedly reported to display high concentrations in brake linings (Thorpe and Harrison, 2008). Around 13% of the tyre debris material is organic, present in the various curing agents, accelerators and other additives. Some organic material (tyre polymer and extender oils) can be vaporised leading to highly carcinogenic volatile compounds such as PAHs which subsequently condense onto small particles (Aammeeyata et al., 2009). In spite of contrasting results, the European regulation has required the discontinuation of the use of high aromatic oil containing PAH in the manufacture of tyres starting in 2010 (European Commissions, 2005). For example, Kreider et al. (2010) used the gas chromatography with 2D mass spectrometry for coarse particles. They found that the total PAH content of the tread wear particles (in ppm of particle mixture) represented only 5% of the total PAH content of the road wear particles. This indicated that other sources such as natural sources, asphalt, automobile exhaust and fuel combustion products significantly contribute to the PAH content of road wear particles. Gustafsson et al. (2008) conducted cell studies on particles sampled from road simulator tests with studded tyres on two road surfaces. The results indicated that particles from studded tyre wear of pavements can induce inflammation in airways and that the type of stone material used in pavement is important for the level of this contribution. Karlsson et al. (2011) examined the toxic proteomic effects on human monocyte derived macrophages after exposure to wear particles generated from the interface of studded tyres and a granite-containing pavement. They found that proteins associated with inflammatory response were increased and proteins involved in cellular functions were decreased.

7.2. Construction and demolition

Construction and demolition activities have been found to increase particle mass concentrations in the close vicinity of building sites. This increase is likely to be for brief periods (Dorevitch et al., 2006) but may adversely affect the respiratory health of nearby residents. While there are such exposure–response relationships available for the coarse and fine particles (Atkinson et al., 2010; Nauenberg and Basu, 1999), the contribution to such effects is yet to be established for nanoparticles, especially those generated from building activities. These, unlike traffic generated nanoparticles (Morawska et al., 2008), can have a substantial non-volatile fraction (Kumar et al., 2010a).
There are currently no regulations in place to control nanoparticles at building sites. Though there are individual guidelines for coarse particles, such as those recommended by the Building Research Establishment (BRE) for the UK (Kukadia et al., 2003). Control measures adopted are generally 'low-tech' like spraying of water which has been found to decrease the PM_{10} concentrations by up to 10 times during demolition (Kukadia et al., 2003). For nanoparticles, a Health and Safety Research Report (HSE, 2006) suggests assessment measures, but the scope of the report is limited to engineered nanoparticles (i.e. those produced from engineering processes)' and related exposure in workplaces (Kuhlbusch et al., 2011). Despite the notable advances in construction methods and materials used, continuously increasing awareness towards emerging air pollutants and ever increasing strictness of the Health and Safety regulations, no existing or visible plans appear to exist currently for developing risk assessment and management strategies for nanoparticles related to building activities (Kumar et al., 2012b).

A number of physical hazards and exposure risks occur routinely at building sites. This requires prevention through close cooperation between governments, employers and workers (Murie, 2007). Exposure to building derived nanoparticles is one such risk to site workers, passers-by and nearby residents which has not been adequately assessed to date (Kumar et al., 2012b), though a few studies exist on the exposure assessment of PM_{10} (Beck et al., 2003; Dorevitch et al., 2006). As discussed in Kumar et al. (2012b, 2012c), there is a need to determine emission rates of nanoparticle dust from a range of building activities for developing emission inventories for different situations.

### 7.3. Ship emissions and seaports

Ship emissions are one of the least regulated sources of anthropogenic emissions (Eyring et al., 2005). The International Maritime Organization (IMO) which is responsible for the international regulations of pollutants from ships has set the emission limits for NO_x, SO_x in the revised Marpol Annex VI rules (IMO, 1998). National or regional regulations call for even more stringent limits than those given by the IMO. For example, in June 2004, the EU environment ministers agreed a 1.5% sulphur limit for fuels used by all ships in the Baltic Sea, North Sea and English Channel, and 0.25% sulphur limit on fuel used by seagoing ships at berth in EU ports (Eyring et al., 2005). Additionally, because the Baltic Sea is an emission control area for SO_x (SECA) the ships operating there must use reduced-sulphur fuels (fuel sulphur content less than or equal to 1% by weight) from 1 July 2010, and this will be decreased to 0.1% in January 2015. The Baltic Sea is a busy area for short-sea marine traffic; about 3500–5000 different vessels are in operation every month (Jalkanen et al., 2011). Stringent limits for the sulphur content of marine fuels will also decrease PM emissions, as the major part of the PM emissions is in the form of sulphate.

No regulations regarding particles were introduced. However, the contribution from shipping PM_{10} emissions globally is the same order as the contribution from road traffic, 1.7 and 2.1 Tg yr^{-1}, respectively (Eyring et al., 2005). A major part of ship emissions (\sim 70%) occurs within 400 km of coastlines leading to reduced air quality in coastal areas and harbours. Isakson et al. (2001) report that in the harbour of Göteborg, exposure of PNCs smaller than 100 nm increased by a factor of 3 when a ship plume was recorded; however, simultaneously the mass particle size distribution was not significantly affected. Corbett et al. (2007) estimated that shipping-related PM_{2.5} emissions are responsible for approximately 60,000 premature cardiopulmonary and lung cancer deaths annually at a global scale. Their method was based on the ship emission inventory datasets, atmospheric transportation and chemistry models, exposure and mortality estimations. The highest mortality rates were reported near coastlines in Europe, East Asia, and South Asia surrounded by large populations. No such estimates are available for nanoparticle exposure due to the lack of the shipping nanoparticle emission datasets.

### 7.4. Aircraft and airports

Buonanno et al. (2012b) measured the PNC at two fixed locations at an aviation base and occupational personal exposure of workers at the base. Personal exposure concentrations were, as expected, higher (by factors 1.5–3) than at the fixed sites due to the lower distances experienced by the workers to the main sources. Despite the absolute exposure levels measured in this study being lower than rush-hour concentrations at busy streets, the relationship between concentrations at fixed monitoring sites and personal exposure has to been taken into account in health related assessments.

A Danish study showed that the average PNC (6–700 nm) was about two to three times higher at the apron of Copenhagen airport compared to a busy road in downtown Copenhagen. About 85–90% of the PNCs consisted of particles with diameter between 6 and 40 nm. Analysis of the wind direction and time dependence could clearly show that the nanoparticles originate from combustion of jet fuel and diesel at the apron. At the outskirts of the airport the PNCs were 20–40% lower than on a downtown street. No limit values currently exist for airborne PNCs at airports, but the high PNCs have raised concern regarding possible health effects. As a result, the airport authorities have recently presented an air quality action plan for the airport (CPH, 2011). Suggested actions are a promotion of the introduction of electrical vehicles and handling equipment, reduction of idle emissions from vehicles and APU operation times by raising awareness among pilots and airport workers. Also technical improvements are suggested that require international efforts and coordination as particle filters on APUs and lower sulphur content in jet fuel. Moreover, the National Board of Industrial Injuries in Denmark has recognised several cancer cases most likely caused by air pollution in airports. The Copenhagen study received international attention and similar measurements have now been initiated in several European airports. The linkage from nanoparticle exposure at airports to health effects for the workers at the airport is not yet fully established due to the large number of possible co-contaminants and other influencing factors. Epidemiological studies comparing the health records of airport staff with reference groups are underway.

### 7.5. Domestic biomass burning

About 14% and 11% of the world's final energy consumption in 2000 and 2001, respectively, came from biomass energy (IEA, 2003). Hence the assessment of nanoparticle emissions and exposure during cooking is particularly important since the biomass is a primary energy source for about 2.4 billion people in developing countries (IEA, 2003) and adverse effects might be associated with the increased exposure to domestic wood smoke which are hitherto unknown ( Nielsen et al., 2008). As well in the developed countries woodstoves for space heating have become more popular in recent years since fuel prices in general are increasing and wood is considered a CO_2-neutral and renewable energy source. Burning of biomass produces particle number emissions that are highly variable in total number and size distributions, as well as chemical characteristics (Section 4.6). These physicochemical changes are also likely to influence the biological effects produced by wood smoke particles (Bølling et al., 2009). Review studies on the health
effects of such particles indicate somewhat greater respiratory effects compared with cardiovascular effects (Nahe
er et al., 2007) since their exposure affects both systemic and lung biomarkers (Barregard et al., 2006; Barregard et al., 2008). Recent classification by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) for indoor emissions from household combustion of biomass fuel (mainly wood) indicated it to be probably carcinogenic (Group 2A) to humans (Straif et al., 2006). However, there are yet no policy guidelines to limit exposure to wood combustion produced nanoparticles. A recent Danish study (Nielsen et al., 2008) established health effects of wood combustion-derived fine particles on mortality rates, though a similar study for nanoparticles was beyond the scope of this work.

### 7.6. Forest fires

Forest fires emit hazardous gases CO, \( \text{NO}_x \), \( \text{SO}_2 \), \( \text{HC} \), and \( \text{PAH} \) in addition to high PNCs. Nanoparticle emissions from forest fires are beyond the regulatory control, although no such regulations currently exist even for prescribed burning. There is only a rudimentary understanding of the health effects of wildfire smoke on human health. Recent research report increased hospital attendances for asthma, respiratory symptoms, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and chest pain during smoke-haze episodes; greater in those with pre-existing heart or lung conditions and age > 65 years (Bowman and Johnston, 2005). During the 1997 smoke-haze episode in Indonesia, outpatient visits increased two to threefold during the main haze episode and daily respiratory disease outpatient visits more than threefold in Malaysia (Heil and Goldammer, 2001). However, most of current research focuses on health effects related to gaseous pollutants or for \( \text{PM}_{10} \) exposure from wildfire smokes and similar kind of exposure–response relationships are yet to be established for PNCs.

### 7.7. MSW incineration

Even the most technologically advanced incinerators release hazardous by-products through the stack into the ambient air. Besides nanoparticles, \( \text{PM}_{2.5} \), \( \text{PM}_{10} \) and ash, these may also contain organic chemicals, including dioxins, heavy metals, and halogennated organic compounds. The physical and chemical nature of MSW incineration emissions are continuously changing. For instance, the growing use of nanotechnology-integrated products is likely to increase the presence of nanomaterial containing products within the municipal waste, meaning a greater likelihood of nanocomposites combustion through MSW incineration. However, the work of Buonanno et al. (2008, 2009a, 2011) and Angelucci et al. (2010) indicates that the flue gas treatment used on current technology incinerators is highly efficient, reducing nanoparticle concentrations in stack gases to levels comparable with ambient air. Consequently, the PNCs associated with MSW incineration are likely to be considerably smaller in quantity compared with a major source such as road traffic emissions (Dall’Osto et al., 2011; Kumar et al., 2010c).

### 7.8. Power plants

The coal used in power plants contain large amount of inorganic impurities (e.g. Cr, Ni, pyrite) that are transformed into the potentially harmful by-products such as nanoparticles at high temperatures. Lack of nanoparticle emission legislation and the limited efficiency of after treatment devices such as the ESP (Biswas and Wu, 2005) allow them to escape into the ambient environment for human inhalation. Given the potentially bioreactive nature of such transition metal-bearing nanoparticles, there is likely to be an increased health risk associated with their inhalation (Silva and da Boit, 2011). However, there are no studies currently available which have apportioned the health impacts of power plants derived nanoparticles from those arising from other sources (e.g. road vehicles). As for other sources, the only assumption considered while assessing their health impacts is that the particle toxicity increases with decreasing particle size and the health effects depend on the PNCs than on their mass (Heal et al., 2012; Oberdorster et al., 2002).

### 7.9. Cigarette emissions

Cigarette smoke contains hundreds of toxic chemicals (Baker, 1980), including a notable amount of nanoparticles (Section 4.9). Active smoking is widely recognised as one of the major causes of coronary artery disease, cancer (particularly lung cancer), and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in adults (USDHHS, 2006), as well as impacting the function of the endothelium and causing altered gene expression in endothelial cells via their ability to generate ROS and nitrogen species (Bercher et al., 2007; Mo et al., 2012). Insoluble nanoparticles are capable of translocation from the lung to other parts such as the lymph nodes, spleen, heart and bone marrow; their high surface to mass ratio increases biological activity relative to larger particles of the same chemical composition (Oberdorster et al., 2005; Kreyling et al., 2010). Recent research indicates that co-exposure to ambient nanoparticles and cigarette smoke extract causes enhanced injury to endothelial cells and may cause combined effects on activation of endothelial cells and dysfunction of the endothelium by oxidative stress through activation of NADPH oxidase (Mo et al., 2012). However, there are so far no studies available which have apportioned the effect of cigarette derived nanoparticle exposure from effects caused by other ambient nanoparticles.

On 26th March 2006, 2nd April 2007, 30th April 2007 and 1st July 2007, a ban on smoking in all enclosed workplaces and/or public places was introduced as a consequence of the Health Act 2006 in Scotland, Wales, Northern Ireland and England, respectively. Similar bans to smoke tobacco are already in place in over 100 countries worldwide including Ireland, a few states in USA, New Zealand, Norway, Germany, and Italy to reduce passive smoke exposure to the general population. Recent studies have found notable reductions in nanoparticle exposure in public indoor places as a consequence of such bans (Valente et al., 2007). Such regulations are not directly targeted towards nanoparticle exposure but these do so indirectly as a consequence of reduced environmental tobacco smoke. However there is as yet no control on tobacco smoking in residential indoor environments and studies informing detailed physicochemical characteristics of cigarette emitted nanoparticles are needed.

### 7.10. Cooking (restaurant/residential)

Cooking fumes contain nanoparticles in large quantities and other organic and inorganic toxic contaminants and may be harmful to residential cooks, chefs, building occupants and to those visiting commercial hot food outlets (Kim et al., 2011). Past studies have shown direct relations between the exposure to gase-stove cooking fumes and respiratory ailments and lung cancer (Ko et al., 2000). During cooking the PNCs can exceed an order of magnitude over the indoor background and few times higher than outdoor concentrations and can persist for up to an hour in living rooms and an hour and a half in the kitchen (Wan et al., 2011) even after the cooking (see Section 4.10.2), indicating greater exposure levels. Furthermore, the large surface areas of nanoparticles can absorb harmful pollutants (e.g. PAH and nitrated PAHs) on their
surfaces which can further enhance the risk to exposed individuals (See and Balasubramanian, 2006).

Numerous guidelines have been suggested by a number of agencies (e.g. Environmental Protection Agency, Occupational Safety and Health Administration; World Health Organisation; see complete list and details of included pollutants in Table 6 of Kim et al., 2011) worldwide to protect public health from cooking smoke; by far the main risks arises from emissions from solid fuels burned unvented in a confined space. However, health guidelines for cooking are generally limited to pollutants such as CO, BTX (Benzene, Toluene, and Xylene) and formaldehyde. Currently, there are no guidelines or regulations in place for commercial or residential cooking for nanoparticle emissions, though forming such regulations are a difficult task given the variability in emissions as discussed in Section 4.10. For accurate hazard identification, exposure assessment and risk characterization, detailed knowledge of the temporal and spatial variation of number and size distributions, and morphological and chemical characteristics, for a range of cooking activities is a need.

8. Summary, conclusions and future research challenges

The article comprehensively reviews the characteristics of 11 major NES to discuss their related importance against the major source of nanoparticles (i.e. road vehicles) and implications towards the exposure to public health and regulations. Future research needs and challenges are also highlighted. The formation mechanism of covered NES can be broadly divided into three categories: (i) combustion of fuel, oil and biomass, (ii) mechanical attrition during building activities or road—tyre interaction, and (iii) secondary formation in the atmosphere. While nanoparticle emissions from a few NES have been studied well, the others such as construction and demolition, road—tyre interaction, and MSW incineration appear to have extremely limited information, indicating a need for further research. The key conclusions related to the individual NES are summarised below.

- The formation mechanism of nanoparticles from road—tyre interaction is poorly understood and the reported PNEFs for road—tyre interaction vary more than an order of magnitude. More measurements are needed to find out the particle number (and mass) emission factors for direct road wear and indirect emissions due to suspension, and their dependences on many variables including vehicle speed, tyre type, road wetness, and springtime activities such as dust binding and street cleaning. This information, for example, can be utilised by the air quality models such as recently developed non-exhaust emission model ‘NORTRIP’ for PM$_{10}$ (Berger and Denby, 2011; Johansson et al., 2012).
- Construction and demolition activities are essential to address the need of growing world’s population. While a handful of studies currently exist to draw conclusions about the extent of release of nanoparticle emissions, recent research has strengthened the view that construction and demolition processes can significantly release particles in the nanosize range (Kumar et al., 2012c), depending on factors such as construction and demolition method, meteorological conditions, structure type, and type of material demolished (Kumar et al., 2012b). The likely exposure to site workers, passers-by or the people living in the vicinity of building sites are still to be established.
- Many factors such as fuel, after-treatment system and engine load affect ship emissions which vary in the $1.3–6 \times 10^{16}$ km$^{-1}$ range, with the PNDs peaking at about 10–20 and 50–100 nm. More measurements are needed to study the effects of engine technology (fuel, lubricant oil, after-treatment system) on ship exhaust. Special interest concerns ships equipped with a SCR or scrubbing system. To improve the quantification of indirect effects of SO$_2$, better estimates of particle size distributions as well as quantification and location of emissions are needed (Fuglesvedt et al., 2009).
- Airports are a significant source of nanoparticles generated by airplane engines and ground service activities both to the workers at the airport and residents in the surroundings. Several studies have shown high ambient levels of PNC, and measured PNEFs (kg$^{-1}$ fuel) are higher than comparable values for road traffic. Despite a lack of toxicological studies related to airport emissions, a likely health effect due to airport nanoparticle emissions appears possible.
- MSW incineration is known to produce many chemical compounds besides nanoparticle emissions. The extent of nanoparticle release varies depending on the type of waste incinerated, as well as flue gas treatment system deployed. There is a need to investigate the release of nanoparticles as a function of the MSW incineration process and abatement plant.
- Emission factors from domestic biomass burning show a large range of variation due to the variability in burning conditions, fuels used and other less controlled parameters. There is a clear indication that both indoor and ambient exposures due to domestic biomass burning cause adverse health effects in the affected population. Experimental studies indicate that each kg of wood burned during a fast and slow combustion process can produce nanoparticle emissions equivalent to those emitted per km by a HDV and LDV, respectively, in typical operational conditions. Following renewable energy initiatives, use of biomass within the European Union has nearly tripled over past decades compared with the 1999 levels (Khan et al., 2009). This indicates an increasing importance of this source, which still requires due attention to understand nanoparticle emissions with more confidence.
- The properties of nanoparticles produced by forest fires vary substantially depending on the combustion phase, fuel type and moisture, wind conditions and many other variables (Reid et al., 2005). Furthermore, during dispersion a transformation of particles occurs and changes their physical, chemical and optical properties rapidly. While emission factors for grassland and savanna are relatively well known, very large uncertainty still exists in nanoparticle emission factors for boreal, temperate, and some tropical forests. Regional and global climate models need more accurate information. Enhanced coordination and networking among the smoke-haze-affected countries, including a standardisation of measurement and reporting procedures, could improve the management of forest fires (Heil and Goldammer, 2001).
- Emissions from coal power plants have been studied extensively in the past, mainly due to their association with large PM and gaseous emissions, but data on nanoparticle emissions and their size distributions is still scarce. Available research indicates substantial nanoparticle emissions (over 2 orders of magnitude larger in treated flue gas than urban background; Table 1) due to their survival from the coagulation process and after treatment systems since combustion takes place at a high temperature that promotes the metal vapourisation—nucleation pathway (Carbone et al., 2010b). The effect of enhanced oxygen on combustion conditions plays a key role in defining the quantities of nanoparticles which has yet to be studied in detail, as is the case with the effectiveness of after treatment systems (Li et al., 2009). Since the collection efficiency of after treatment systems is dependent on the diffusive properties
(which increase with decreased particle size) and field charging (which decreases with increased particle size), better methods for efficiently charging nanoparticles are needed for effective control.

- **Cigarette smoke and cooking** are the dominant sources of nanoparticles in indoor environments, and they both also act as an important point source of emissions to outdoor environments. Regardless of the types of cigarettes used, their nanoparticle emissions are consistently high, but comparable to each other, producing a peak at about 100 nm. Conversely, most of the particles produced by cooking are below 100 nm, with the dominant peak being below 50 nm. Very little knowledge currently exists on the nanoparticle emissions and changes in their physicochemical characteristics after emission. Given that these are among the dominant sources of indoor nanoparticle emissions, their accurate quantification is essential for both actively and passively exposed people.

- **Secondary formation** is a large source of nanoparticles especially in the remote areas and rural background but can also give significant contributions in urban environments. Large efforts have been made to understand the underlying principles and precursors of secondary formation but still, the exact mechanism remains uncertain. Secondary nanoparticles require research on both formation and climate processes, but have less relevance for health than many other sources.

- Given that a number of nanoparticle sources, including both exhaust and non-exhaust emissions contribute to pollution of the ambient environment, samples collected from the atmosphere contain a complex mixture of nanoparticles. Analysis of chemical composition to provide unique fingerprints to allow source identification and hence the opportunity to target an individual source for developing mitigation strategies is a key goal. There have been tremendous advances in online and offline methods for chemical characterisation and source apportionment of nanoparticles (Section 6). These are though not good enough for routine applications warranting further research on the development of quantitative methods for determining the molecular composition of individual nanoparticles, including the development of alternative ionisation methods and improved measurement sensitivity (Bzdęk et al., 2012).

- For most of the 11 NES sources the toxicity of the nanoparticles and subsequent health effects due to the exposure are largely unknown and require further work. This calls for the need to enhance understanding of their physical (e.g. size, shape, morphology), chemical (e.g. oxidative potential and toxicity) and biological (e.g. fungal spores, moulds) properties as thoroughly as those produced by vehicles in order to appreciate their potential effects on the local air quality and exposed public, and design relevant mitigation exposure measures.

Some toxicological studies have indicated that nanoparticles are considerably more toxic per unit mass than the coarser particles of the same material (Donaldson et al., 1988). Although the evidence base is as yet weak, there is accumulating evidence that nanoparticle exposure is associated with adverse health outcomes affecting particularly the cardiovascular system (Heal et al., 2012). These are still emitted unabated from most of the NES covered here and their effect on public health is yet to be established, with the associated mortality and morbidity quantified. Studies have found that every 10 μg m⁻³ increase in PM2.5 levels is associated with a 4% increase in deaths from all causes, a 6% increase in deaths from cardiopulmonary illness and an 8% increase in lung cancer mortality (Pope et al., 2002). Few studies have developed exposure—response relationships for nanoparticles (Atkinson et al., 2010; Stözel et al., 2007) based on epidemiological evidence. Many more such direct exposure—response functions are needed to reflect relationships with numerous NES. One of the major hindrances in establishing such relationships is the incomplete knowledge of the biological mechanisms through which nanoparticles affect human health. Consequently no consensus has been reached to regulate airborne nanoparticles and these are being emitted unabated from various NES, unlike those emitted from road vehicles which are controlled through Euro 5/6 emission standards in Europe. Some of the sources such as the secondary atmospheric formation are, though, directly beyond the regulatory control and reducing the emissions of precursor gases seems to be the only control strategy.
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